

**Sahibmeet Singh versus State of Punjab**

Present:- Sh. B.S. Sidhu, Advocate for applicant/accused.  
Ms. Shashi Bala, Addl.PP for the State assisted by Sh. Ankur Ghai, Advocate counsel for the complainant

Sh. Ankur Ghai, Advocate filed power of attorney on behalf of the complainant. Copies of chats have also been produced by the complainant and certain documents have been produced on record by counsel for accused/applicant. Reply by State also filed. Same are taken on record.

2. An application has been filed by counsel for the applicant/accused for amendment in the sub para xlvi of para no.6 of the bail application to substitute the word 'not paid' instead of 'paid'. Since, the same is typographical mistake, the application is allowed. The word 'paid' be read as 'not paid'.

3. Police record produced and perused. Heard on the bail application filed by Sahibmeet Singh (accused/applicant) under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 in FIR No.145 dated 23.07.2026, under Sections 376, 506 IPC, PS Dakha, Police District Ludhiana Rural. Brief facts are that Prosecutrix/Victim made statement to the police to the effect that in the year 2023, Sahibmeet Singh son of Varinderpal Singh (accused/applicant) met the prosecutrix. She was invited at Grand Marry Villa at Mullanpur. Prosecutrix was offered cold drink and after consuming the same, she fell unconscious. She gained consciousness after 2 hours and found herself naked. Accused/applicant developed forcible physical relations with her and on asking Sahibmeet Singh told that he had prepared her obscene

videos. In case, she raised hue and cry, he would make the videos viral. He threatened to eliminate her with the licensed pistol. Taking the advantage of obscene videos, at different places, he had developed physical relations with the prosecutrix. Prosecutrix was married in the year 2022 with Gurvinder Singh son of Ajmer Singh. Accused/applicant told that he would show the obscene videos to her husband in case she did not get divorce from her husband. He got her divorce materialize. Thereafter, accused/applicant arranged meeting with his mother namely Navneet Kaur wife of Varinderpal Singh. Prosecutrix disclosed the misdeeds of the accused/applicant to his mother, on which her mother told that she would arrange their marriage. Navneet Kaur mother of the applicant was also told by the prosecutrix that her pregnancy was got aborted many times by the accused/applicant. At the behest of his mother, accused/applicant many times developed relations with her. On the statement made on 23.07.2026, FIR under Section 376, 506 IPC was registered.

4. I have heard the rival contentions and have gone through the file.

5. Learned counsel for the accused/applicant narrated the detailed incidents date wise in the bail application itself to bring home that accused/applicant & complainant had consensual relations or applicant/accused was forced into relationship. Voluminous chats between the accused/applicant and complainant was produced on record by accused/applicant to establish that it was not a rape or intercourse on the pretext of marriage but a relationship forced on accused/applicant or at

maximum consensual relation. Even, Counsel for complainant also produced on record chats between parties to make a point that complainant three times underwent abortion & accused/applicant was at fault. Voluminous chats alleged to be between accused/applicant and Prosecutrix if lead to the irresistible conclusion of relationship between them as consensual as alleged by accused/applicant, is a matter of trial. At this stage, it is premature to jump upon to conclusion that the relationship was consensual, resultantly, accused/applicant was entitled to bail. Chats between the accused/applicant and complainant are yet to be established by leading cogent evidence. Though, voluminous chats can be indicative of a relationship but nature of relationship being consensual or leading to rape is matter of trial. As made out from police file, allegations are of developing relations with the prosecutrix without her consent. The custodial interrogation of the accused/applicant is required to elucidate detailed facts and to facilitate the investigation. Serious allegations of abortion were also fastened against the accused/applicant. Keeping in view the severity of the allegations, the bail application is dismissed. Police record be returned. File be consigned to records.

Pronounced in open Court;  
Date of Order: 14.08.2026

(Aashish Abrol)  
Addl. Sessions Judge, FTSC  
Ludhiana (UID No. PB-0203)