

IN THE BOMBAY CITY CIVIL COURT AT GREATER MUMBAI**SHORT CAUSE SUIT No.1095/2004**

Smt Urmiladevi P. Gupta & Ors. ... Plaintiffs

Versus

Durgaprasad P. Gupta ... Defendant

Mr G. V. Pandya, Ld. advocate for plaintiffs

**CORAM : His Honour Judge Shri. K. R. Deosarkar
(Court Room No.2)**

DATE : 11/2/2016

ORDER PASSED BELOW APPLICATION EXH.NO.45

. Plaintiffs have filed this application for appointment of an Interpreter, for interpreting the version of Plaintiff No.2, on the grounds that the witness, who is stepped into the witness box, does not know language of the Court. She speaks in Bhojpuri-Hindi language, which is not the language of the Court. Therefore, it is necessary to appoint an Interpreter to record the evidence of Plaintiff No.2.

2] Perused the application. Heard ld. advocate for plaintiffs'.

3] Considering the fact that the witness, who is stepped into the witness box does not know language of the Court, I am of the view that appointment of an Interpreter is necessary to record the evidence of the said witness. So, I pass the following order :-

ORDER

1] Application (Exh.No.45) is allowed.

2] An Interpreter be appointed to interpret the version of the witness-Plaintiff No.2 into the Court language and vice-e-versa.

- 3] Ld. advocates for respective parties, may suggest names of any advocate, or a member from the staff of this establishment, who knows Bhojpuri-Hindi language.
- 4] Plaintiffs to bear interpretation charges at the rate of Rs.500/- per diem.

Date : 11/2/2016

[K. R. DEOSARKAR]
Judge, City Civil Court,
Court Room No.2, Gr. Mumbai.

Dictated on : 11/2/2016
Typed on : 11/2/2016
Signed by HHJ on : /2/2016