



Order below Exh.12 in R.C.S.No. 238/2024.

1 The plaintiff have filed this application for amendment of plaint vide provisions of O.VI R.17 of Civil Procedure Code.

2 It is contended by the plaintiff that, the suit is filed for recovery of the loan amount mentioned in suit from the defendant. The details about the plaintiff bank is given in para No. 1 of suit but filed the pendency of suit as per decision of ministry of finance Government of India notification F.No.7/6/2024(7)-RRB (Maharashtra) dated 07.04.2025. The plaintiff bank amalgamated with Maharashtra Gramin Bank and started functioning in the name and style as “Maharashtra Gramin Bank” and since 01.05.2025 said plaintiff bank is known as and working as “Maharashtra Gramin Bank” the notification is attached with this application.

3. Hence, it is necessary changed the name of plaintiff bank to Maharashtra Gramin Bank a new entity formed with the effect from 01.05.2025 on amalgamation of erstwhile “Maharashtra Gramin Bank” and erstwhile “Vidharbha Kokan Gramin Bank” in accordance with the notification dated 07.04.2025 in that regard published by Central Government in the place of old name mentioned in the plaint as a plaintiff. It is also become essential to mentioned in para no. 1 after the last word i.e. Vidharbha Kokan Gramin Bank now Maharashtra Gramin Bank a new entity formed with the effect from 01.05.2025 on amalgamation of erstwhile “Maharashtra Gramin Bank” and erstwhile “Vidharbha Kokan Gramin Bank” in accordance with the notification



dated 07.04.2025 in that regard published by Central Government. The amendment is technical in nature and it does not change nature of suit as well as adversely affect or hamper the interest of defendant. He prayed for allowing the application.

4. On the other hand, the suit is proceeded without written statement of defendant by passing order below Exh. 1.

5. Heard learned advocate for plaintiff.

6. The following points arise for determination and their findings with reasons thereon are as under.

Sr. No.	<u>Points</u>	<u>Findings</u>
1	Whether proposed amendment is essential to determine real controversy between the parties ?	Affirmative.
2	Whether any prejudice will be caused to the defendants if amendment application is allowed ?	Negative.
3	What order ?	As per final order.

REASONS

As to Point Nos.1 and 2:-

7. Ld. Advocate for the plaintiff submitted that, the proposed amendment is material one. The proposed amendment will prejudice the rights of the defendants.

8. Thus, it appears that, the proposed amendment is material to determine the real controversy between the parties. It would also



enable the court to decide the matter effectually. No any prejudice would be caused to the defendants if amendment is allowed. Thus, point No.1 and 2 is answered in affirmative and following order is passed.

ORDER

- 1 Application below Exh.12 is allowed.
- 2 The plaintiff shall carry out amendment within prescribed period.

Date:-02/05/2026.

Sd/-
(**Pratibha M. Kamble**)
2nd Jt. Civil Judge, Junior Division,
Mehkar.



CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file are word to word same as per original Judgment/Order.

Name of the Stenographer	: H. L. Korde
Court	: 2 nd Jt. CJJD & JMFC, Mehkar
Judgment/Order Signed by PO. On	: 02.05.2026
Judgment/Order uploaded on	: 02.05.2026