


<b>IN THE COURT OF THE ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, MALKAPUR, DISTRICT – BULDHANA</b>	
<b>Criminal M.A. No. 54/2025</b>	
CNR–MHBU11-000903-2025 	<b>Sagar Kushkumar Verulkar</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>Gajanan Janardan Ingle + 1</b>

**ORDER BELOW EXH. 01**

(Date of Order - 11<sup>th</sup> March, 2026)

This is an application filed by the informant in Crime No. 478/2023 registered with Police Station, Nandura for the offence punishable under Sections 143, 323, 324, 327, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 for cancellation of bail granted to present respondent Nos. 1.

2. Respondent No. 2 i.e. concerned Police Station supported the application. Respondent No. 1 resisted the application by filing say at Exh. 10. Read the application and say. Heard all the parties.

3. Admittedly, respondent No. 1 is the accused in the aforesaid crime. He has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 29/08/2024 below Exh. 1 in

Judge

A.B.A./Criminal B.A. No. 224/2024 on certain conditions. One condition was that, he should attend concerned Court regularly.

4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submitted that, respondent No. 1 is not attending the Court till the filing of the present application. He submitted that, therefore, he committed breach of the condition and hence, his bail may be cancelled.

5. Ld. Advocate for respondent No. 1 submitted that, respondent No. 1 has obeyed each and every condition of the bail. He prayed for rejection of the application.

6. I considered rival submissions carefully. So far as, attendance in the Court is concerned, there is nothing on record to show that, the information of filing of the charge-sheet was communicated to respondent No. 1 or any summons was served on respondent No. 1. Therefore, it cannot be said that, respondent No. 1 deliberately remained absent before Nandura Court. Secondly, if he had made any default, Ld. Judicial Magistrate First Class had power to issue N.B.W. against respondent No. 1. It is not the case that, any such action was taken against respondent No. 1.

**Judge**

7. Considering the aforesaid discussion, I am of the opinion that, there is no case for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondent No. 1. It may be noted that, there should be grave and serious circumstances to cancel the bail and curtail personal liberty of any person. Such circumstances are not existing in this case. Therefore, the application deserves to be rejected. Accordingly, I pass the following order :-

**ORDER**

1. Criminal M.A. No. 54/2025 is hereby rejected.
2. Application is disposed of accordingly.

Malkapur.  
Date – 11/03/2026.

**(Purushottam B. Jadhav)**  
Additional Sessions Judge,  
Malkapur.

- - - - -

Criminal M.A. No. 54/2025  
Sagar Verulkar X Gajanan + 1  
ORDER ON EXH. 01

... 4 ...

CNR-MHBU11-000903-2025

**CERTIFICATE**

I certify that this order's P.D.F. file uploaded is a true and correct copy as per original signed order.

Name of Court	:	<b>Additional Sessions Judge, Malkapur.</b>
Name of Stenographer	:	Miss H.D Chaudhari, Stenographer Grade I.
Date of order	:	11/03/2026.
Date of Uploading	:	12/03/2026.