


<b>MHBU100002712024</b> 	<b>R.C.C. No. 16/2024</b> <b>State</b> <b>Vs.</b> <b>Jagan @ Jaggu Ramchandra</b> <b>Narkhede</b>
--	---

**Order below Exh.316**

01. Perused the application and say filed thereon. Heard Learned APP and respective Counsels for the accused.

02. From the application it appears that the prosecution is intending to produce original document of cheque on record as same is required to be proved. The defence has taken objection mainly on the ground that if the original was available with the witness, why Investigating Officer has not seized during investigation. There is no proper reason assigned in the application in that regard. Thus, they prayed to reject the said application.

03. From the record it is noticed that the Investigating Officer seized the xerox copy of the said cheque and same is a part of final report. Necessary opportunity needs to be given to the prosecution to prove the concern document. Merely, the Investigating Officer has not seized the original earlier during investigation from the witness cannot be a ground for

prosecution for not allowing it to be produced on record at this stage. Moreover, it is not disputed by either of the accused that the copies of the aforesaid document was not supplied to them earlier. Therefore, I find no substance in the submission advanced by the Learned Counsels for the defendant. As such no prejudice would be caused to the accused, if the said document is allowed to be produced on record. Hence, I pass the following order-

**Order**

Application is allowed.

( P.S.Thakare )  
Judicial Magistrate F.C.,  
Malkapur.

Date : 15/10/2025

## CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this <a href="#">P.D.F.</a> file order is same, word to word, as per the original order.	
Court	J.M.F.C. Court No.1, Malkapur
Date	15/10/2025
Order signed by the presiding officer on	15/10/2025
Order uploaded on	15/10/2025