

MHBU040012252025



ORDER BELOW EXH.14
(Passed on 23.2.2026)

1. Present application has been filed by the applicant Minakshi Narendra Chaudhary, under Section 503 of BNSS for release of one mobile handset Vivo Y16 company IMEI No.862682066468457 on Supratnama, seized by police station Khamgaon City in Crime No.255/2025 under Sections 75(1), 75(3), 78(1), 78(2), 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 8 of the Protection of Children From Sexual Offences Act, 2012.
2. Learned APP Ms.R.D.Bawaskar, filed say vide Exh.15 and contended that the offence is very serious. The mobile phone was used in the commission of offence. The Court may release the mobile phone on Supuratnama to the applicant/accused on certain conditions.
3. Learned advocate Mr. S.S.Badgujar, for applicant submitted that the applicant is the owner of the said seized mobile phone and it is the daily need for her livelihood. It is further submitted that the applicant will abide the terms and conditions that may be imposed by the Court while releasing the said seized mobile phone into her custody on Supuratnama.

4. Heard both side. Perused the application and documents placed on record.

5. The record shows that applicant has filed application for releasing the mobile phone seized by police station Khamgaon City in Crime No.255/2025 under Sections 75(1), 75(3), 78(1), 78(2), 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 8 of the Protection of Children From Sexual Offences Act. The applicant has produced on record the verified copy of the purchase bill which prima facie goes to show that the mobile phone in question belongs to the applicant. The mobile phone is lying idle in the police station. It is an electronic device and if remain with police station long time, it will damage. Hence, it is of no use to keep the seized mobile phone at the police station for a long period, by taking Supuratnama Bond to the satisfaction of Court, the seized mobile phone be released. Therefore, I found that the applicant is entitled for release of mobile phone upon certain terms and conditions. In sequel, I hold that the application in hand requires to be allowed. Hence, I pass the following order :-

ORDER

- 1] The application is allowed.
- 2] The officer in-charge of Police Station/ investigating Officer, of police station Khamgaon City is directed to deliver the custody of seized mobile handset Vivo Y16 company IMEI No.862682066468457 on Supratnama, seized by police station Khamgaon City in Crime No.255/2025 under Sections 75(1), 75(3), 78(1), 78(2), 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 8 of the Protection of Children From Sexual Offences Act, to the applicant Minakshi Narendra Chaudhary,

R/o. Near National B.ed. College, Burud Colony, Talao Road, Khamgaon, Tq. Khamgaon, Dist. Buldhana, on her executing Supuratnama bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) and on her undertaking that she shall not make any alteration in the said mobile phone and will produce it as and when required by the Court during the trial, in connection with the said crime.

- 3] PSO/ I.O. shall take necessary photographs of the mobile phone in question at the expenses of the applicant, so that its identity can be established during the trial and forward such photographs to this Court.

Dt.23/2/2025

(G.B.Jadhav)
Special Judge, Khamgaon,
Dist.Buldhana.

Spl.Case No.141/2025
Minakshi Chaudhary V. State of Mah.

4

Certificate

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file order are same word for word as per original order.

Name of Steno : Mrs.Nishat Anjum Mohd.Rafique.
Name of Court : Special Judge, Khamgaon, Dist.Buldana.