

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE BULDHANA.  
{Presided Over by A.G. Santani}

MHBU010003782026



Criminal Bail Application No. 54/2026.  
Prabhakar Damodhar Vaidya.

V/s.

The State : Through P.S.O., Dhad.

APPEARANCE :

Mr. Nilesh P. Sawadatkhar, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant/the Accused.

Mr. S.P. Hiwale, Ld. APP for the Non-applicant/the State.

Mr. Kunal S. Jaiswal, Ld. Advocate for the Informant

ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT NO. 01.

{Passed on 18.03.2026}

\*\*\*\*\*

01. The applicant/the accused Prabhakar Damodhar Vaidya filed this application for grant of anticipatory bail U/Sect. 482 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS), in Crime No.48/2026, registered with P.S., Dhad, for the offence U/s. 296, 308(3), 115, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, (the BNS).

02. Briefly, details of the FIR go to show that on 16.02.2026, the informant – Bhagwant Pandurang Jadhao, lodged a report with P.S.Dhad, intimating that on 15.02.2026, at 8.00 p.m., when he was at home, this applicant, along with the others, came there. They all abused him filthily, this applicant assaulted on his nose and thereby, he sustained bleeding injury. He was also having swelling on his shoulder and hand. Moreover, they demanded 'Hafta' (Protection Money) for running his hotel at Kardi Phata. Accordingly, he lodged report and the investigation followed. Now, in view of this application, the applicant prayed for his pre-arrest bail, as he is law abiding citizen and has no criminal antecedents. On 26.02.2026, the Officers of the concerned Police Station visited his house and inquired about him and hence, he is having reasonable apprehension to be arrested in this matter. He is not having any nexus with this Crime and he is doing private job. He further submitted that there was a civil dispute in between their families. He is having good reputation in the Society, the allegations

levelled against him are false and bogus and he is also ready to co-operate with the investigation. In support of his contentions, he filed photocopies of the FIR, his Aadhar and PAN Cards. Ld. APP objected this application in view of his say (Exhibit 05), on the grounds that the applicant committed this serious offences. If released, he would tamper with the investigation and pressurize the witnesses. On the other hand, the informant appeared through his Advocate and filed his say (Exhibit 07), extending his consent for granting bail to the applicant. He submitted that he didn't assault him. He never asked for the Hafta and as such, he has no any grievance against him. The informant is duly identified by his Ld. Advocate Mr. K.S. Jaiswal. Heard Mr. Sawadkar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, Mr. Hiwale, the Ld. APP for the State, and Mr. Jaiswal, Ld. Advocate for the informant. Gone through the Case Diary.

03. Considering their submissions and the record, at the outset, it is to be noted that having received this report, the spot panchanama was drawn and, initially, even, the notice U/sec. 35(3) of the BNSS was issued to this applicant, pertaining to this Crime. More so, even statements of the witnesses, including the informant, came to be recorded. **Thus**, as of now, the investigation is substantially done. **That apart**, taking into account the nature of the offences, allegedly committed by this applicant, his physical custody is no more warranted. **Above all**, in view of his say (Exhibit 07), the informant denied his participation in commission of the said offences and extended his 'no objection' for considering his request for bail. Being so, he may be released on his pre-arrest bail. Obviously, granting him a bail would not amount to his exemption to co-operate with the process of investigation. Hence, taking into account gravity of the offences, allegedly committed, it would also be appropriate to impose adequate conditions on release to ensure a free and fair investigation of this Crime. Hence, the Order:

-: O R D E R :-

01. In the event of arrest in Crime No. 48/2026, registered with P.S., Dhad for the offences U/s. 296, 308(3), 115, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, the applicant - Prabhakar Damodhar Vaidya is hereby Ordered to be released on his executing personal bond of Rs. 25,000/ (Rs. Twenty Five Thousand) and on furnishing of one surety in the like amount, subject to the conditions that;

- (i). he shall attend the concerned Police Station on every Wednesday in between 10.00 a.m. to 02.00 p.m., till filing of the Charge-sheet or passing of the further Order, whichever occurs earlier,
- (ii). he shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the accusation against him so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer,
- (iii). he shall not indulge in any criminal activities in future,
- (iv). he shall submit a list of at least three blood relatives with their detail residential addresses and also the addresses of their place of work, if any, and documentary proof of showing the correctness of the details produced by them, and
- (v). he shall submit copies of at least two documents amongst the Passport, PAN Card, Aadhar Card, Ration Card, Electricity Bill or Voter Identity Card issued by the Election Commission of India.

02. In the event of breach of any condition, his bail would liable to be cancelled. Bail to be furnished before the concerned Court.

03. Criminal Bail Application No. 54/2026 stands disposed of.

Buldhana.  
Dated : 18.03.2026.

{A.G. Santani}  
Addl. Sessions Judge, Buldhana.  
District : Buldhana.

**Certificate**

I affirm that the contents of this order are same word for word as per original.

Name of Court	Additional Sessions Judge, Buldhana.
Name of Steno	Sanjay Chaudhari (Steno Grade I)
Dictated on	18/03/2026
Pronounced on	18/03/2026
Transcribed on	23/03/2026
Checked & Signed on	23/03/2026
Upload Date	24/03/2026