

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE BULDHANA.

{Presided Over by A.G. Santani}

MHBU010003232026



Cri. Bail Application No. 49/2026.

Rahul Vijay Dalvi+ 1.

V/s.

The State : Through P.S., Dhad.

APPEARANCE :

Mr. Nilesh P. Sawadatkar, Ld. Advocate for the Applicants/Accused.

Mr. S.P. Hiwale, Ld. APP for the Non-applicant/the State.

Mr. Akshay G. Totre, Ld. Advocate for the Informant.

ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT 01.

{Passed on 11.03.2026}

01. The applicants/accused Rahul Vijay Dalvi and Roshan Ganesh Shinde filed this application for Bail U/sec. 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, in Crime No. 40/2026, registered with PS, Dhad, for the offences punishable U/s. 118(1), 296, 115, 352, 351(2) r/w. 3(5), 308(4), 111(1), (2)(b), (3), (4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

02. Briefly, details of the FIR go to show that on 06.02.2205, the informant namely Pawan Rajendra Sonune, lodged report with P.S.Dhad, informing that on that day, at 3.25 p.m. after attending the nature's call, when he was returning to his Medical Shop, one Akshay Jivanlal Bilange (who is arrayed as an accused in this Crime), with the applicants, stopped him. They abused him and demanded 'Hafta' (like protection money) for running his shop. More so, as he refused, they started slapping on his face and by fists blows. At that time, the said Akshay gave blow of his 'Kada' (just like bangle) on his face and lips. One Purushottam Tukaram Kanher and Laxman Bhiwaji Nagre rescued him from them. Thereafter, the said Akshay and the applicants left the spot. Further, when he was proceeding to lodge his police report, applicant No.2 Roshan and an unknown person restrained him and threatened to face the dire consequences, if the report is lodged. After receipt of this report, the said police station registered this crime and proceeded with the investigation. Now, by this application, the applicants prayed for bail, as they are permanent residents of Dhad and

having good reputation in the Society. They are required to maintain their families. They are not concerned with this Crime and falsely implicated in this matter. They were arrested on 16.02.2025 and were produced before the Court on 17.02.2026. Since 20.02.2026, they are in jail. There was no seizure of any property from their possession. They never demanded any ransom 'Hafta' from the informant. The investigation is substantially done and they are not required for interrogation. They are not likely to abscond and are further ready to abide by the conditions of bail. To support their contentions, they filed copies of the F.I.R, their Aadhar and PAN Cards. Resisting their application, Ld. APP filed his say (Exhibit 6) and contended that the applicants committed serious offences and one of the co-accused is absconding. They are the active members of organized crime syndicate, headed by the said Akshay and are having past criminal record. As such, if released, they would tamper with this investigation, which is in progress. They may also dispose of their properties and may threaten the witnesses. Likewise, the informant, by his say (Exhibit 9), denied this application, as the applicants committed serious offences. They were present on the spot and actively participated in the said offences. They are habitual offenders and if released, they may pressurize the witnesses. Heard Mr. Sawadatkar, Ld. Advocate for the applicants, Mr. Hiwale, Ld. APP for the State, and Mr. Totare, Ld. Advocate for the informant. Perused the Case Diary.

03. Considering their submissions and the record, at the outset, it is worth to be noted that, recitals of the report go to reveal the presence and active participation of the applicants in commission of these offences. The Case Diary transpires that during the investigation, the informant was medically examined and the police effected seizure of the vehicle and the cell phones from the accused. Additionally, in their police statements, the witnesses -Rajendra Tulshiram Sonune, the said Purushottam and Laxman fortified occurrence of the incident and these applicants' role. As such, the said Akshay and the applicants were arrested on 16.02.2026. That apart, it appears that in view of their Criminal Record, the S.P. Office, Buldhana,

passed the Order dtd. 11.02.2026 and thereby, the offences U/s. 308(4), 111(1),(2)(b),(3),(4) were 'added' against them, including the applicants. Thus, the record, more precisely, the documents forming basis for passing of this Order of adding above said Offences, makes it crystal clear that the applicants had long standing Criminal history. Presently, the investigation is pending and one of the co-accused is absconding. In the circumstances, considering gravity of the offences committed, the applicants' possibilities to tamper with the investigation and to jump the bail can't be completely ruled out. In such scenario, to ensure a free, fair and full investigation of this Crime, at this juncture of matter, I am not inclined to release them on their bail. Hence, the Order:

-: ORDER :-

01. Criminal Bail Application No. 49/2026 stands rejected.
02. The proceeding is, accordingly, disposed of.

Buldhana.
Dated : 11.03.2026.

{A.G. Santani}
Addl. Sessions Judge, Buldhana.
District : Buldhana.

Certificate

I affirm that the contents of this order are same word for word as per original.

Name of Court	Additional Sessions Judge, Buldhana.
Name of Steno	Sanjay Chaudhari (Steno Grade I)
Pronounced on	11/03/2026
Upload Date	12/03/2026