

MHBU010002662021



**Spl.C.No.9/2021**  
**State Vs. Dilip Pawar and Ors.**

**ORDER BELOW EXH.66**

(Passed on this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of December 2024)

Instant bail application has been filed by accused No. 2 Aruna Dilip Pawar for releasing her on bail on account of fact that she remained absent in the proceeding for considerable period, therefore her bail bond was forfeited. Today by way of penalty, she has deposited Rs. 10,000/- in the Court. In such circumstance she requested to Court that she will remain present regularly and she will not misuse the bail. Lastly she prayed that to release on bail.

2] Learned AGP Shri. Bhatkar has strongly opposed to the bail application and prayed for rejecting it.

3] I have heard both the parties. Admittedly accused No. 2 Aruna Dilip Pawar was absent for considerable period and her PR bond was forfeited. In such background of the case, she has deposited Rs. 10,000/- in the Court by way of penalty. As such she has been sufficiently punished regarding her absence. Accused No. 2 appears to be resident of Pokhari, Tq. Dist. Buldhana. Therefore there are least chances of her absconding. Needless to say that initially bail was granted to her, but due to her

absence, it was forfeited. In such given set on facts and circumstance, according to me, she is entitled to be released on bail. In the result following order is passed.

**ORDER**

- 1] Bail application (Exh.66) is allowed.
  
- 2] Applicant Aruna Dilip Pawar be released on execution of PR. Bond of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in like amount. Accused requested that time for surety may be granted to her. She is ready to furnish surety tomorrow. As such time is granted to her for furnishing surety tomorrow and she be released on execution of PR bond of Rs. 50,000/-.

Buldana  
Date:3.12.2024

(R.N.Mehare)  
Addl.Sessions Judge,  
Buldana