

Cri. Bail Application No. 84 /2026

Datta Achyut Raut and ors

Vs.

State of Maharashtra

:: ORDER BELOW EXH. 1 ::

1. Application under Section **482** of the B.N.S.S. Applicant/accused is seeking anticipatory bail in Crime No. 112 of 2026 under Sections 121(1),132,271,281,285, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS), Sec 130,177,184 of M. V. Act, Sec 102, 117 of Maharashtra Police Act registered at the Dharur Police Station.

Brief contents of this application

2. It is case of the prosecution that, on 25-03-2026 at about 6.18 p.m. when they directed to the driver of the said vehicles as mentioned in the FIR to take Tipper at Dharur Police Station, but driver did not take U Turn on the contrary driven vehicles in fast speed and tried to give dash to the another vehicles and overtakes to another vehicle also, they also tried to uploaded tipper of sand on the road. The police officers chased them and stopped those vehicles; they also abused them in filthy language. When police officers tried to apprehended them these accused pushed them and and fled away taking advantage of darkness in another white colour Baloro Jeep. Accordingly, informant lodged report to the police station and crime is registered as per above offences. Accordingly, it is stated by the applicant that there is apprehension of arrest of this accused. Thus, sought bail on the grounds that,

Both applicants/ accused filed affidavit at exh 6 and 7 in compliance of the order/ direction in the case of Zeba Khan. It has stated that there is no criminal antecedent of these applicant, they have not filed any bail application before and no such order has been passed in the said crime.

Say of prosecution Exh. - 5

4. Stated and argued that nature of offence is serious one, there is prima facie evidence against this accused about commission of said crime. They are absconding from spot till date. Investigation is not completed yet. If They released on bail then they will tamper prosecution's evidence. With this request to reject this application.

Reasoning

6. Considering facts as per FIR, these applicants have fled away from the spot and committed offences against the public servant. Nature of offence is serious one, their presence is requires for detail investigation, about offences and alleged crime. Considering facts in this FIR it is reveals that these applicants are not co-operating to the public officers on the contrary they assaulted threatened them, while performing their duty as public servant. Moreover, as per offences as above stated the maximum punishment is provided to the offence of Section 121 of BNS i.e extend to five years. Accordingly, there is statutory safeguard available to these accused as the punishment is less than seven years. However, no additional protection is requires for them.

In such above facts and circumstances this is not fit case to give protection to

these applicant and grant anticipatory bail application

Pass following order

ORDER

- 1 Application is rejected.

(Pronounced in open Court)

Place : Kaij

Date : -10/06/2026.

(**Rahimat K. Shaikh**)

Additional Sessions Judge

Kaij.