

1 Cri. Bail Appln. No. 66/2026
(Order below Exh.1)

MHBI180001502026



ORDER BELOW EXH. 1

Dilip Sahadeo Kendre

V/s.

State of Maharashtra

This is an application filed under Section 482 of BNS by accused/applicant Dilip Sahadeo Kendre, in Crime No. 59/2026 for offence punishable under Sections 324(5), 324(4), 326(g) of BNS 2023 registered at Kaij Police Station, Dist. Beed.

2. Brief facts of the case as per FIR that one Uddhav running his plumbing shop at Kaij, left his shop on 04/02/2026 due to his marriage. On 05/02/2026, he received telephone call from Police Station, Kaij that there is fire in his shop. He immediately came to his shop and found that the entire shop is burnt and he sustained loss of Rs. 25 to 30 Lakhs in the said fire. Police prepared panchanama. Thereafter, he lodged FIR at Police Station, Kaij against unknown person. On 07/02/2026, when he came to his shop for cleaning, he noticed that somebody had poured petrol in his shop by making a hole with the help of drill on terrace. The said Uddhav was not having good terms with the present applicant. The first informant went to police station where his supplementary statement was recorded. In the said statement, he put the doubt on the present applicant as he might have set as fire his shop.

3. It is submitted that the present applicant is nowhere concerned in the crime. Nothing remained to be seized from him, therefore, his custodial interrogation is not required. Moreover, there is a delay in FIR. The police asked his family members to keep remain present the present applicant in police station and they are intending to arrest him in the said crime. Hence, prayed to grant bail.

4. Notice was issued to state. APP filed reply. I.O. filed his report. The Ld. APP submitted that the investigation is going on. If, the accused is released on bail, the further investigation will be hampered. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

5. Perused the reports and FIR. In order to decide the application for anticipatory bail, the applicant should satisfy the Court that he is having apprehension of arrest in non-bailable offence. The said apprehension is not only apprehension but, it should be coupled with reasonable apprehension. In a case in hand, the police have recorded the supplementary statement of the first informant. The name of the present applicant is disclosed as suspicious person. The name of the applicant is not in FIR. In such circumstances, it is for the police to investigate the role of present applicant in the alleged crime. In such situation, restraining the police from arresting the applicant will hamper the further investigation. Thus, the applicant is not entitled for protection from his arrest at this stage. Hence, I proceed to pass the following order;

3 Cri. Bail Appln. No. 66/2026
(Order below Exh.1)

ORDER

Anticipatory Bail Application is hereby rejected.

Date : 16.03.2026.
Place: Kaij.

(S.B. Bhajipale)
Addl. Sessions Judge, Kaij

CERTIFICATE

"I affirm that the contents of this PDF file are word to word as per original Judgment/order."

(G. N. Ghayal)
Steno Grade-1

Dictated On : 16.03.2026.

Transcribed on : 16.03.2026.

Checked & signed on : 16.03.2026.