

MHBI140011892024



Cri. M.A. No.96/2024
Pushpa Vasant Pawal
Vs.
Sangharsh Gaikwad & 2 others

ORDER BELOW EXH.1

Perused the Complaint. The applicant/complainant as well as Advocate for complainant are absent when called repeatedly till 3.15 p.m. The Complaint has been filed against accused under section 452, 323, 504, and 506 r/w. 34 of I.P.C. The matter is of the year-2024, pending for verification/steps against accused. No steps has been taken by the complainant since 12.08.2024. By order below Exh.1 dated 07.11.2025 the matter was kept for order of dismissal in default of the complainant.

2. No steps has been taken on behalf of the complainant. Therefore, again matter was kept for order for dismissal in default. Perused the roznama, it shows that, the complainant remained absent though matter was kept for dismissal order 4 times from 07.11.2025 to 12.03.2026. Neither the complainant nor her Advocate turned up to the Court and took any effective steps to go on with the matter. Today also none appeared for the complainant.

3. It is pertinent to note that, as stated above the complainant in spite of repeated opportunities failed to appear and take positive steps. The Hon'ble Bombay High Court has issued the direction under action plan to dispose of the old matters at priority basis. The instant matter is pending since 2024 and since last 1 year proceedings at snails steps.

4. It appears that, despite giving sufficient opportunities to the complainant, She neither present for verification nor taken any steps. The

matter is pending unnecessarily without any progress. The complainant is not interested to proceed with present matter. The matter is unattained since more than a year. Hence no purpose would be served by keeping the matter unnecessarily. Hence following order -

:: ORDER ::

1. The Application/Complaint is dismissed for want of prosecution.
2. The proceedings stands closed.

Date : 12.03.2026

(Mahesh R. Badakh)
Judicial Magistrate F.C., Ashti
(Court No.3)