

BEFORE THE NATIONAL LOK-ADALAT
HELD AT CIVIL AND CRIMINAL COURT, GEORAI DISTRICT BEED
DATE 14th DAY OF MARCH, 2026
(Organized under section 19 of The Legal Services Authorities Act,
1987)

NAME OF THE REFERRAL COURT – Civil Judge Junior Division,
Georai, Dist- Beed.

R.C.S.No.196/2026

Exh.No.

Complainant/ Applicant	Plaintiff/	:	Sachin Chandrakant Lunkad
Accused/ applicant	Defendants/ Non	:	Nitin Chandrakant Lunkad and 2 ors.

<u>PANEL NO.02</u>			
Head Of The Panel	:	Shruti Hanumantrao Patil , (Jt. CJJD, Georai, Beed)	
Member Of The Panel	:	Shri. S. B. Arbad , Advocate, Georai.	

A W A R D

This case is referred to Lok-Adalat under section 20 (1) of The Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Efforts were made to dispose of this case and arrive at a compromise or settlement. It appears that parties have arrived at compromise or settlement which as per guiding principles under section 20(4) of The Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987, are based on principles of justice, equity, fair play and other legal principles. Therefore, following award is passed in terms of their settlement;

As per terms of compromise pursis **Exh.13.**

Terms Of Compromise/withdrawal pursis supra shall form part of this

AWARD.

As per **section 21(1) of The Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987**, court-fees paid in this case shall be refunded in the manner provided under The Court-Fees Act, 1870, Civil Manual or any other law for the time being in force.

As per **section 21(2) of The Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987**, parties are informed that this Award shall be final and binding on all the parties to the dispute and no appeal shall lie to any Court against this award.

The dispute between the parties having been referred for determination to Lok Adalat and parties have compromised/settled the matter and following award is passed in terms of their settlement.

This is a suit for partition and separate possession. Perused roznama and record it appears that, parties have filed compromise pursis at **Exh.13**. It appears that, matter settled between the parties as per compromise pursis at **Exh.13**. The compromise is not bared by any of the provisions of Prevention of fragmentation and Consolidation Act. Hence, there appears no hurdle to accept their compromise. Hence, following order is passed

ORDER

01. Plaintiffs and defendants agreed mutually that they are going to divide the quantum of their respective shares in the suit property as per terms of compromise pursis.
02. It is mutually agreed between parties that, they will co-operate with each other in bringing into effect terms of compromise mutually agreed between them and for division of the same by meets and bounds.
03. If the compromise in between parties creates fragment, revenue/consolidation officer will take recourse of sec 8, 8AA, 9, 36A of Maharashtra Prevention of Fragmentation and Consolidation of Holding Act, 1947.
04. Decree be drawn as per compromise pursis at **Exh.13**. Pursis shall form part of decree.
05. Precept be sent to registrar office u/s 89 (A)(a) of the Registration(Maharashtra Amendment) Act, 2010 for registration, if required.
06. This order will be effective only after registration and relinquishment of rights of concerned party as per rules and by-laws, if any and transferred should be subject to law.

The parties are informed that, Court fee, if any paid, shall be refunded as per the rules.

Signature of Plaintiff/ Complainant	Signature of Defendants/ Accused

Signature of Advocate for plaintiff	Signature of Advocate for Defendants

Date:14/03/2026

Head Of The Panel

Shruti H. Patil, Jt. CJJD, Georai

Member Of The Panel

Shri. S. B. Arbad, Advocate, Georai.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P. D. F. file are same, word to word, as per the original Judgment/Order.

Dictated on :- 14.03.2026
Transcribed on :- 14.03.2026
Checked & Signed on :- 14.03.2026

Sd/-
(P.B. Kusumkar)
Stenographer G-3, Georai.