



Cri. Bail Application No.42/2026
CNR No. MHBI04-000090-2026

Sangita Siddharam Koli

Vs.

State of Maharashtra

ORDER BELOW EXH.01.

(A) CASE DETAILS

1	FIR number and date	112/2024, date 25.04.2024
2	Police station, District and State	Parli city police station, Dist. Beed Maharashtra
3	Sections invoked	420, 408, 406, r/w. 34 of IPC
4	Maximum punishment prescribed	Life imprisonment

(B) CUSTODY AND PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE

1	Date of arrest	No.
2	Total period of custody undergone	No.

(C) STATUS OF TRIAL

1	Stage of proceedings (Investigation / Charge-sheet / Cognizance / Framing of Charges /	Not submitted.

	Trial)	
2	Total number of witnesses cited in the charge-sheet	Not submitted.
3	Number of prosecution witnesses examined	Not submitted.

(D) CRIMINAL ANTECEDENTS

1	FIR and Police Station	Not submitted.
2	Sections	Not submitted.
3	Status (Pending / Acquitted / Convicted)	Not submitted.

(E) PREVIOUS BAIL APPLICATIONS

1	Court	Not submitted.
2	Case No.	Not submitted.
3	Outcome of case	Not submitted.

(F) COERCIVE PROCESSES

1	Whether any Non-Bailable Warrant was issued	Not submitted.
2	Whether declared a proclaimed offender	Not submitted.

1. The applicant, apprehends his arrest in crime No.112/2024 registered at Parli City police station punishable under section 420,

408, 406 r/w.34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 prays for anticipatory bail under section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

2. One Sushil Walmik Karad, R/o. Parli Vaijnath, Dist. Beed lodged a report on 19.07.2024 that since 2021 he has been running Hindustan Coca Cola Cold Drink Private Ltd. Agency, Malpani Tea and Products Limited, Gemini Edible Oil, Amul Ice Cream agencies by name and Style as Sanwi traders. Since November 2020 his wife Akshata Sushil Karad also dealing in Gemini Edible Oil, Wadilal Ice Cream Hindustan Coca Cola Ltd., and Malpani Retails Agencies by name and style as Anwi Traders. Since November 2020 accused Sidhram Amrut Koli R/o. Solapur, has been working as a Manager. One Kishor Dinkar was serving as a Godawn Manager and Anil Uttreshwar Gheware as an adviser. Somnath Harkal, Laxman Phad, Hanumant Sapkal, Rjendra Chavan, Rajabhau Bansode, Devendra Marathe have been distributing the said goods. The accused Sidram was looking after all the financial transactions.

3. On 25.04.2024 the informant asked outstanding amount to Jayashri Milk Agency Gangakhed. At that time the concern firm informed that said amount is transferred in the account of accused Siddharam in HDFC Bank. On demand made by informant of outstanding amount to Sharad Traders of Gaichap tobacco, at that time the concern firm informed that said amount is transferred in the account of accused Siddharam in HDFC Bank. After inquiry to his clients, he came to know that the amount paid by 30 to 40 clients were not deposited in Sanwi traders or Anwi enterprises, but in the account of Siddharam Koli.

4. After auditing accounts of both firms, it is revealed that the accused accepted money from 30 to 40 clients of the informant and said amount deposited in the account of accused Siddharam and his wife Sangita Sitaram Koli and Aradhya Transport. So the accused No.1 and 2 in collusion with each other, committed breach of trust and used the huge amount of Rs.1,08,37,836/- for their own. Hence, this report.

3. As per applicant, she has not committed any offence. She has been falsely implicated in the above crime. The learned investigating officer and A.P.P. contested the application by filing say at Exh.4 and 5. Both submitted that the applicants committed a serious offence. There is a possibility of putting pressure on prosecution witnesses.

4. Heard learned advocate for the applicant and learned A.P.P. Perused the police papers. Perusal of documents, it appears that the alleged huge amount is involved in the offence. It is necessary to investigate where the accused have invested the alleged amount. It is necessary to investigate whether any property purchased by accused out of said alleged misappropriated amount. It is necessary to recovery alleged amount from the accused / applicant. Therefore, the custodial interrogation of the applicant is required.

5. The learned advocate for applicant relied on the case of **Balmukund Singh Gautam Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2026 INSC 157**, wherein their Lordships of Hon'ble Supreme Court have observed that, while deciding anticipatory bail, it is necessary to consider nature and gravity of offence, character of accused, likelihood of influencing of investigation or tampering evidence and danger of justice being

thwarted. With due respect to the cited case of Hon'ble Apex Court, this case law is not applicable to the case in hand. Hence order.

ORDER

The application stands rejected.

Place : Ambajogai

Date : 28.04.2026

(Ajitkumar B. Bhasme)

Additional Sessions Judge,
Ambajogai.

CERTIFICATE

"I affirm that all the contents of this PDF file are word to word as per original judgment/order".

Dictated on : 28.04.2026

Transcribed on : 28.04.2026

Checked & Signed on : 28.04.2026

Date : 28.04.2026

A.S.PANHALE
STENOGRAPHER GR-1