

MHBI010010352020



Sessions Case No.59/2020

**STATE OF MAHARASHATRA
Vs.
Shaikh Amar Shaikh Akbar**

ORDER BELOW EXH.20

This is the application by accused No.7 seeking directions to provide copy of charge-sheet to him. According to him, since last he is in jail and prosecution has not provided him copy of charge-sheet. Accordingly, he prayed for the same.

02. Learned A.P.P.Shri. B.S. Rakh today pointed out to me as per pursis Exh.9 which is signed by Advocate for the accused No.7 copy of charge-sheet is received by him and therefore, now there is no question that, state will provide the same again. Perusal of pursis Exh.9 discloses that, on 11.03.2020 itself copy of charge-sheet is provided to accused No.7 in due compliance while committing the matter to the Sessions Court and the said pursis is signed by Advocate of the accused. If it is so, there is no question to allow the said application. Therefore, following order.

ORDER

Application Exh.20 is rejected.

(Dictated and pronounced in open Court).

Date : 01/03/2025

(K.R.Joglekar)
Addl. Sessions Judge
Beed.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file are word to word as per original judgment/order.

Dictated on : 01/03/2025

Transcribed on : 01/03/2025

Checked & signed on : 01/03/2025

(A. R. Patil)
Stenographer Grade-2
Additional Sessions Court,
Beed.