


MHBI010005672026 	<b><u>SPECIAL CASE No. 38 of 2026</u></b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra.</b> <b><u>Vs.</u></b> <b>Sanjay &amp; Ors. 9.</b>
---	---

**ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT 14.**

This is successive regular bail application filed by applicant/accused No. 1 **Sanjay s/o Narayan Hange** under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita ( for short BNSS), in connection with C.R. No.544/2025 registered at Police Station, Shivajinagar, Beed Tq. & Dist. Beed for the offence punishable under Sections 318(4), 316(5), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 198, 199, 316(2), 61, 351(4) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, under Sections 7, 13 of Prevention of Corruption Act and under Sections 14, 34 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act.

**2)** The applicant inter-alia contended that he is innocent and has not committed alleged offence. He has been falsely implicated on the say of his ill-wishers, only to harass him. Entire evidence is documentary in nature. Inquiry committee has not recommended to file criminal complaint. Previous bail application of the applicant is rejected before filing of the charge-sheet. Now charge-sheet is filed. Alleged sections do not attract against the applicant. FSL report is awaiting. There is nothing to be recovered or discovered from the applicant. Continued incarceration of the applicant is not necessary. There are vague allegations against the applicant. The applicant has no antecedents and has firm roots in the society. Further detention of the

applicant is not necessary for any purpose. The applicant is ready to obey any conditions and prayed to allow the application.

**3)** Ld. APP for the State filed his say at Exh.24. It is contended therein that previous bail application of the applicant was rejected on merit. There is strong prima facie case against the applicant. Applicant was serving as Asst. Revenue Officer in the office of District Collector, Beed. There are call recordings between applicant and co-accused persons. According to witnesses applicant was transferred to other place, still he was coming in the office during holidays and used to work with co-accused persons and was also taking files with him, out of the office. According to another witness, he has given Rs.20,00,000/- to present applicant for getting enhanced amount. The files were in the custody of the applicant. Applicant also extended threats to other staff during the period of alleged crime. Out of 154 files, 148 files are seized. Remaining files are yet to be recovered. The crime is committed by applicant and co-accused in a planned manner, whereby public money is misappropriated. The offence is serious in nature and against Government of Maharashtra. If bail is granted, the applicant will tamper with the prosecution evidence and also will abscond and prayed to reject the application.

**4)** Heard Ld. Advocate for applicant and Ld. APP for the State.

**5)** Learned Advocate for the applicant/accused argued on the line of the bail application. He submitted that voice sample as well as specimen handwriting of the applicant is obtained. Nothing is recovered from the applicant during interrogation. Applicant has not misappropriated money. In report of the enquiry committee, no offence is made out against

the applicant. Committee members have not made inquiry with the applicant about alleged misappropriation. There is no audio conversation between concerned witness and applicant that he allegedly gave Twenty Lakh Rupees to present applicant. Alleged amount is deposited in Bank accounts of farmers. Mobile phone of applicant is also seized. The case is based on documentary evidence, which is with the police. Applicant has no antecedents. Previous bail application was rejected before filing of the charge-sheet. Now charge-sheet is filed. Further detention of the applicant is not required. Trial may take its time. The applicant is ready to obey any conditions and prayed to allow the application. In support of his submissions he relied on case of **Shri P. Chindrambaram Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation { SLP (Cri) No.9269 of 2019 DD 22-10-2019 SC }**. In that case there was alleged irregularities in Foreign Investment Promotion Board (FIPB) clearance given to the INX Media for receiving foreign investment to the tune of Rs.305 Crores against approved inflow of Rs.4.62 Crores. Appellant was member of parliament and a senior member of the Bar having strong roots in society. There was no flight risk of the appellant. Co-accused were already granted bail. Appellant was 74 year old person, suffering from age related health problems. There were mere averments that the appellant approached witnesses and would pressurize them, without any material in that regard. Charge-sheet was filed. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has been pleased to grant bail to the applicant/accused.

6) Ld. APP submitted that the offence is serious, economic and against the Government of Maharashtra. Applicant and co-accused collusively prepared false and bogus awards by putting signatures of the then Collector. He also fabricated false documents whereby their occurred misappropriation of 73.4 Crore Rupees. The misappropriation amount may

increase in future. Co-accused are absconding. Investigation under Section 193(9) of BNSS is going on. Previous bail application of the applicant is rejected on merit. Reports of RFSL are awaiting. Statements of witnesses show complicity of the applicant in alleged crime. If bail is granted, the applicant will pressurize the prosecution witnesses and prayed to reject the application.

**7)** Perused the application, say of learned APP and adjoining documents on record.

**8)** It reveals from the police papers that Land Acquisition Officer, Beed fixed rates of acquired lands for National Highway under National Highway Act, 1956 and passed Awards. If the claimants are aggrieved by said fixed rates, they can file arbitration matter before the Arbitrator i.e. Collector for enhancement of the compensation amount. During the period 28/06/2024 to 22/04/2025 the then Collector, Beed handled and decided the arbitration matters. Said Collector came to be transferred on 22/04/2025 and new Collector/Arbitrator joined in Beed on 24/04/2025. Allegedly it found that after six months from transfer of previous Collector in many arbitration matters awards were passed by mentioning old dates, name and designation as well as signatures of the then Collector, Beed and thereby enhanced amount of 73 Crore rupees was disbursed to some of the claimants in 154 arbitration matters.

Present applicant was serving as an Assistant Revenue Officer in Deputy Collector Office, in Land Acquisition Co-ordination Department till 31/05/2025. He was taking original files before the then Collector and after hearing, was depositing said files back to the office. It reveals

that most of the files were sent to Project Director, National Highway Authority, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar for enhancement of compensation, from Deputy Collector, Land Acquisition Co-ordination Department, Beed, after transfer of the then Collector of Beed. It is alleged that the applicant by hatching conspiracy and in collusion with co-accused persons fabricated false documents, prepared incomplete files and showing them as complete files, facilitated some of the claimants to get enhanced compensation. Thereby applicant and co-accused persons allegedly misappropriated 73.4 Crores of Rupees of the State Government. The applicant was president of organization of revenue employees and even after his transfer from Beed to Parli, he was coming to the office at Beed on holidays and was handling said files and was also taking said files out of the office. Allegedly witness Somanth Shinde gave Twenty Lakh Rupees to present applicant for getting enhanced compensation with respect to acquisition of land in Survey No.145 situated at Taraf Bobde, Beed. Conversation between said witness and present applicant is recorded. Applicant was allegedly in contact with co-accused persons on mobile phone as well as on What-App chat. More than twenty thousand recordings were found in seized mobile handset of the applicant. There found conversation between applicant and co-accused as well as the beneficiary claimants. The offence prima facie appears to have been committed in a planned manner. Co-accused are absconding. Reports of FSL with respect to voice samples of applicant and others and also about CCTV, DVR are awaiting. According to the Investigating Officer the duped amount may increase in future as out of 154 arbitration files, 148 files are seized and six files are yet to be seized. Accordingly further investigation under Section 193(9) of BNSS is said to have been

underway. The offence is serious, economic in nature and against public money and against Government of Maharashtra. The police papers prima facie show involvement of the applicant in alleged offence. Previous bail application of the applicant is rejected by Ld. Predecessor on merit. In enquiry committee report there are serious allegations levelled against the applicant and co-accused. The offences are punishable with imprisonment for life. Considering the facts, accusations, nature, scope and gravity of offence, if bail is granted, possibility of the applicant tampering with the prosecution evidence cannot be ruled out. Hence it would not be appropriate to grant bail to the applicant/accused. I find no substance in the submissions of Ld. Advocate for the applicant and with high respect the case law (cited supra) relied on by him is not helpful for distinction of facts. Hence, no case is made out to grant regular bail to the applicant/accused. In the result following order is passed :-

### **ORDER**

- 1) The application is rejected.
- 2) Copy of this order be sent to Superintendent of Jail Authority, Beed, through E-Mail, who shall inform applicant/ accused about the order.

Date : 08/04/2026.  
Beed.

**( V. H. Patwadkar )**  
Special Judge  
Beed.

**:: CERTIFICATE ::**

" I Affirm that the content of this PDF file are word to word as per original Judgment/Order "

Typed on :- 08/04/2026.

Checked & Signed on :- 08/04/2026.

Dt. 08/04/2026.

( Asifali R. Sayyad )  
Stenographer Gr-I  
Additional Sessions Court  
Beed.