

[ARBTN 81-2026](#)

DLCT010098462026

TATA CAPITAL LTD.

Vs.

SUKHVIR SINGH

11.08.2026

Present:- Mr. Tanishq Jain Ld. Counsel for the petitioner.
None for respondent.

As per service report, process issued through ordinary mode not received back. Process issued through Speed Post received back as “refused”. However, process issued through e-mail and whatsapp has been duly served.

Ld. Counsel for petitioner has filed affidavit of service. Same is taken on record. In view of the aforesaid, respondent stands duly served.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for petitioner that the vehicle is still not traceable and makes oral request that the order dated 01.06.2026 be extended for further period of 30 days. In view of the submissions, order dated 1.6.2026 is hereby extended for the period of 30 days from today. The Receiver as appointed vide order 1.6.2026 is directed to comply with the conditions as imposed on 1.6.2026.

Put up for compliance report on **15.09.2026**.

(Virender Negi)
District Judge-05,
Central, THC, Delhi
11.08.2026