

MHAU160047942025



**Special Case No.1197/2025**

**The State of Maharashtra**

**Vs.**

**Pravin Chandrabhan Gore**

**ORDER BELOW EXH.4**

Accused – **Pravin Chandrabhan Gore** has filed this application for grant of **bail** under Section **483** of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

**[2] Brief facts of the prosecution case are as under :-**

Victim girl is about 15 years old. She lodged FIR alleging that as there had been matrimonial dispute between her father and mother, since three years they are residing separately. Therefore, she along with her father and brother and grand-parents are residing jointly. In the year 2025 she was studying in 09<sup>th</sup> Standard. Her birth date is 16.06.2010.

**[3]** She has further alleged that on 08.05.2025 her grandmother i.e. accused - Manda, her father i.e. accused – Gajanan and her aunt i.e. accused – Rekha took her to village Warkhed, Tq. Gangapur to show her some guest to fix her marriage. Then, on 09.05.2025 accused – Latabai Chandrabhan Gore, Dadasaheb Chandrabhan Gore, Pranali Dadasaheb Gore and accused – Pravin

Chandrabhan Gore came to the house of accused – Kalpana Bhaskar More at village Varkhed. At that time, the victim girl told her parents and grand-parents that, since she did not get major, she does not want to marry. Still, all the accused persons married her with accused – Pravin Chandrabhan Gore. On 11.05.2025 she stayed at the house of accused – Pravin for one day and thereafter came back to her maternal house. Then, on 13.03.2025 she was sent to the house of accused – Pravin for cohabitation. On that night, at about 10:30 p.m. accused - Pravin attempted to show undue intimacy. At that time, the victim girl told accused – Pravin that, she has been married with him against her wish. However, he told her that, since she got married with him, she has to do sexual relation with him and then in spite of refusal and resistance on the part of the victim girl, accused – Pravin established sexual relation with her.

[4] It is also alleged by the victim girl that once in the month of September, 2025 except accused - Dadasaheb Gore all the family members had gone out of the house and the victim girl alone was working in the kitchen. At that time, accused – Dadasaheb touched her but and told her that, she should accept his friendship and he will give her gold ring or money. Accused – Pranali wife of accused – Dadasaheb came to know about the above incident. Therefore, there was quarrel between them. Thereafter, the victim girl came to the house of her father for Diwali festival. At that time, on 22.10.2025 she along with her brother went to the police station and lodged report. Accordingly, the present crime came to be registered.

[5] Accused – Pravin came to be arrested on 02.11.2025. Since then, he is in Judicial Custody. Therefore, he has filed this application for grant of bail.

[6] I have heard both the sides and perused the material placed on the record.

[7] Father and grand-parents of the victim girl are accused of this crime. Her mother is not with her. Therefore, being one of the relatives of the victim girl one Gautam Baban Chavan (husband of accused No.4 – Rekha) filed written say (Exh.10) on behalf of the victim girl. He has no objection to grant bail to accused - Pravin.

[8] It is not disputed by accused - Pravin that the victim girl was married to him on 11.05.2025 and at that time she was below the age of 18 years. It is also not disputed by the accused that he had sexual intercourse with the victim girl. However, learned Advocate for the accused submitted that the victim girl was about 16 years old when she was married to the accused - Pravin and being the husband of the victim girl, the accused established sexual relation. Therefore, considering the age of the victim girl it appears that she had enough maturity and she lodged present FIR, after lapse of about five months. Moreover, investigation of the crime is completed and charge-sheet is filed. Nothing remained to be discovered or recovered from the accused - Pravin, hence, his further custody is not required. On these grounds, he prayed for grant of bail.

[9] There are reasons to believe that the accused has committed offence as alleged by the prosecution. No doubt, investigation of the crime is completed and charge-sheet is filed. However, considering the seriousness of the offence and prima facie material against the accused available on the record, filing of the charge-sheet cannot be the sole ground for grant of bail. If the accused is granted bail, possibility of his absconding and tampering with the prosecution evidence, cannot be ruled out.

[10] Having regard to the above mentioned facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the opinion that the accused is **not** entitled for grant of **bail**. In the result, the application deserves to be rejected. I, therefore, pass the following order:-

**ORDER**

Application (Exh.4) is hereby rejected.

**(S.B. Bahalkar)**

Additional Sessions Judge,

Gangapur.

Dist: Chhatrapati - Sambhajinagar

**Date:- 06.04.2026**