

MHAU120009892025



R.C.S. No. - 130/2025
Rajendra Vs. Yuvraj and ors.

ORDER BELOW EXH.-6

This is an application filed by the plaintiff for grant of status quo till the appearance of party. It is submitted that defendant no.1 is father, defendant no.2 and 3 are brother and defendant no.4 is sister of plaintiff. It is further submitted that, defendant no.1 has transferred the property in the name of defendant no.4 and on that basis the Revenue Authority are trying to mutate the name of defendant no.4 in the Revenue record. It is submitted that they have filed an application before the Revenue Authority for not to mutate the name of defendant no.4. It is further argued that if the said name is mutated multiplicity of proceeding will be increased. Hence, he has prayed for allowing the present application.

2. Admittedly summons is not served on the defendants. Though the document of gift deed is filed on record by the plaintiff but it requires evidence of both sides. No prima facie apprehension has been shown by the plaintiff to justify granting a status quo order. I do not find any urgency in this matter, and it is necessary to hear both parties on Exhibit 5. Hence, I pass the following order,

Order

Status-quo application is rejected.

Dt. - 22.12.2025

[M. B. Patil]
Civil Judge, (Jr.Dn.),
Soygaon.