

MHAU100005112020



Reg Dkst/2/2020

Aajinath Kaduba Jadhav Vs. Sitaram Dagdu Jadhav

ORDER BELOW EXH.59

The decree holder has filed application to mark exhibit to the document filed below Exh.3 on Sr. no.02 i.e. copy of NCR. Wherein it is submitted that, the decree holder has mention about the said NCR in his evidence affidavit. Therefore, prayed to mark exhibit said document.

02. Per contra, judgment debtor had filed say and opposed the application. It is contended that, the said NCR is foreged and false document. The decree holder has not examined any witness to prove said document. The decree holder has examined himself. However, he has not proved said document. Therefore, at this stage document cannot be exhibited.

03. Perused the application, say and record of the case. Heard respective parties. From perusal of record it shows that the matter is for part-heard evidence of decree holder. The judgment debtor have opposed to mark exhibit the said document. The decree holder has proper mode to mark exhibit the document. Therefore, the document cannot be exhibited at this stage. Thus I pass following order :

ORDER

1. The application is rejected.

Date- 17/03/2026.

(G. D. Gurnule)
Jt. Civil Judge Jr. Div.
Khultabad.