

MHAU080033812025



R.C.S./327/2025
CNR No.MHAU080033812025
Mohammad Khalid Mohmmad Anwar Deshmukh
Vs.
Tahsildar Sillod and others.

E-ORDER BELOW EXH.5

1] This application is filed by plaintiff through E-filing. Perused the application and read the contents.

2] Heard Ld. Advocate for the plaintiff. The Ld. Advocate for plaintiff submitted that by way of order dated 13.11.2025, the defendant No.1 trying to create new path way from suit property i.e. land Gat No.103/2 situated at Sillod, which belongs to plaintiff. In the proceeding before Hon'ble Tahsildar, the plaintiff was not party. The defendant No.1 issued notice that on 17.12.2025, the defendant No.1 came on the suit property for executing the order dated 13.11.2025. Therefore, defendants required to be restrained from creating new path way over the suit property. Advocate of plaintiff orally submitted that status-quo may kindly be granted till appearance of defendants.

3] In view of contentions of the plaintiff and relief sought by plaintiff against defendants is for seeking declaration and injunction against the defendants. While perusing order of Tahsildar dated 13.11.2025, it appears that Hon'ble Tahsildar has given new way in land Gat No.104 and therefore, relief of injunction against

..2..

the defendants is required to be determined by hearing to the defendants. No prima-facie case made out to grant status-quo at this stage and relief sought by plaintiff, hearing to the defendants are necessary before passing order. Hence, issuance of notices to the defendants is necessary. In the result, I proceed to pass following order :

ORDER

- 1] Issue notices to the defendants for their appearance and filing their reply on next date to show as to why the temporary injunction as claimed by the plaintiff should not be granted in favour of plaintiff.
- 2] P.B. and S.B. allowed.

[Dictated and pronounced in open Court]

Date : 16/12/2025.

(S. S. Deshmukh)
Civil Judge (Senior Division),
Sillod.