



MHAU080023402022.

**R.C.S.No.333/2022.
Sayyad Nasib VS. Rukhsar.**

ORDER BELOW EXH.1.

1. Perused the record of the case and rozanama. Plaintiff and his Ld. advocate are absent though called repeatedly today. It appears from the rozanama that, plaintiff and his Ld. advocate are absent continuously. Today, plaintiff and his advocate called out repeatedly till today, but no one appeared. Hence, no purpose would be served to keep the suit ineffective and stale on the record.

2. The present suit is 3 years old and ineffective. Considering the direction given by the Hon'ble High Court regarding preferably dispose off the old pending matters as per action plan. Perused the record it reveals that, dated 22.01.2026, 11.02.2026 the Court has given direction to the plaintiff that, takes the effective steps to proceed with the matter on next date otherwise adverse order has been passed against them. Though, today plaintiff and his advocate are absent and not taken effective steps towards proceed with the matter. It appears that, plaintiff is not interested in proceeding with the suit effectively and the suit is unreasonably pending due to want of effective proceeding on the part of the plaintiff. Considering the absence of the plaintiff today before this Court, the suit is liable to be dismissed for default. Hence, I pass following order,

ORDER

Suit is dismissed for default.

Dated : 12.03.2026.

(M. V. Kirme.)
Judicial Magistrate First Class,
Court No.2, Sillod.