

MHAU070074862025



S.C.C. No. 1303/2025

**Shantilal Supadsing Janghale Vs Ravi
Bhagwan Buge**

ORDER BELOW EXH. 1

1) Present case is filed as a complaint case against the accused no. 1 to 3. As per complaint while the complainant went to at agricultural land in gat no. 20 i.e. 11 Acre land is the ancestral land of complainant to put visible board about the objection that “it is the disputed one no body to approach for any transaction regarding to it.”

2) As per present complaint while complainant went into land gat no. 20 that time accused obstructed him from coming into said land. They threatened them that, they may kill to the complainant and his mother and father. Accordingly, police also registered non-cognizable case no. 1057/2025, but they not initiated any action against accused persons. Hence, it lead to present complaint.

3) As per section 223 of The Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita no complaint can be entertained or no cognizance of the offence shall be taken by the Magistrate without giving an opportunity to accused of being heard. Hence, this court issued notice to the accused persons. They appeared and filed the concern documents and their say on record.

4) Perused the complaint and documents filed by behalf of him as well as the say and documents filed by accused. It is very clear that, the

land gat no. 20 out of 4 H 65 Are –

land 1 H 61 Are is purchased by the accused no. 1 Ravi Bhangwandas Buge and accused no. 2 Anjali Ravi Buge from one Budharatna Wamanrao Patil vide two registered sale deed bearing day book no. 3542/1-11-2003 and 3541/1/11-2003 on consideration amount of Rs. 85,000/- by each of them. Accused also filed the concern ferfar and concern 7/12 extracts which shows that their names of accused no 1 and 2 are mutated over the concern revenue record since 2003 till today.

5) I found that no any concern of present complainant with this land and after perusing the filed both sale-deeds I also found that complainant's name is only mentioned as a witness in above sale deeds of year 2003.

6) complainant also falsely mentioned in his para no 1 that civil litigation are pending between them. But from the argument both side it is revealed that no any civil litigation is pending till today between them.

7) The present complaint is civil in nature, as name of accused no 1 and 2 is mutated over the revenue record that is over the 7/12 extracts and ferfar. Complainant is not having any concern with the land in Gat no. 20.

8) from above revealed fact I have comes to an opinion that there is no any sufficient ground for proceeding against the accused as per reasons mentioned above. Hence I inclined to pass following order.

ORDER

- 1) The complaint is dismissed as per section 226 of The
Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.
- 2) Accordingly the case is disposed off.

Date : 12.03.2026

(M.A. Shaikh)
Judicial Magistrate First Class,
(Court No.02),Gangapur.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file order are same, word to word, as per the original order.

Name of the Stenographer	:	R. S. Bhangе
Court	:	2 nd Joint Civil Judge (J.D) & J.M.F.C. Gangapur,
Date of order	:	12.03.2026
Order signed by the presiding officer on	:	18.03.2026
Order uploaded on	:	18.03.2026