



R.C.S. No.35/2021

**Nishant Vs Hanuman Mandir
and others**

CNR No.MHAM140015132021

Exh. No. 50

‘L’

: I S S U E S :

- 1) Whether plaintiffs prove that, there is 30 X 15 ft wide road (suit property) between plot No.598 & 599 and they have ancestral easementary right over it ?.
- 2) Whether plaintiffs prove that defendants are owner of plot No.598, admeasuring about 247.5 Sq.mt., only ?
- 3) Whether plaintiffs prove that defendants tried to initiate construction over the suit property without any right or authority?
- 4) Whether plaintiffs prove that there was cause of action to file the suit?
- 5) Whether defendants prove that this Court has no jurisdiction to entertain and try the suit as framed and presented by plaintiffs?
- 6) Whether defendants prove that the suit is bad for non-joinder of necessary party?
- 7) Whether plaintiffs are entitled for declaration as prayed?
- 8) Whether plaintiffs are entitled for mandatory permanent injunction as prayed?
- 9) What order and decree ?

**Date: 02.12.2022
Dharni.**

**(Satish G. Gore)
Jt. Civil Judge (J.D.),
Dharni.**

Certificate

I affirmed that, the contents of this PDF file Judgment/
Order are same word to word as per original.

Name of Stenographer	:	A. S. Pathak,
Court Name	:	Jt. Civil Judge Jr. Dn. &
J.M.F.C.,	:	Dharni.
Order signed by P.O.	:	02.12.2022.
Order uploaded on	:	03.12.2022.