

CNR No. MHAM010032382014

Spl. Case (ACB) No.20/2014
State Vs. Vinod Ingale



ORDER BELOW EX.43.

[Passed on 28th August, 2025]

Applicant/accused Vinod Vitthalrao Ingale has prayed for grant of regular bail under Section 483 of BNSS. In the said application applicant stated that on 19.05.2014 he came to be arrested by ACB for the offences punishable under Sections 7, 13(1)(d) and 13(2) of Prevention of Corruption Act. Thereafter, he came to be released on bail on 20.05.2014. On 22.07.2025 accused was present before the Court. On that day his counsel was busy in some other court. Therefore, case was not proceeded further. Hence, court took the accused in custody and sent him to jail.

2) It is further stated that accused has been regularly attending the court since last eleven years and facing the trial. In future also he will follow the orders of the Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail.

3) Ld. APP filed her say on overleaf of page No.1 of the application itself. In her say Ld. APP contended that on 25.06.2025 the witness had come from Pune. However, on that day witness was not examined and on the request of accused

matter was adjourned on 30.06.2025. On 30.06.2025 also counsel of the accused did not turn up for cross examination. As such, she contended that accused is not cooperating the Court in trial and misusing the liberty granted to him. As such, she prayed for rejection of application.

4) In support of application Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that no cross order came to be passed against the accused. Already he has moved application for setting aside no cross order. He further submits that henceforth accused will not prolong the matter and he will proceed with the matter on each and every date. He further submits that accused is old aged person. So keeping him behind bar will not suffice the purpose. Lastly, he submits that accused is ready to abide the conditions if any imposed by this Court. As such, he prayed for grant of bail.

5) On the other hand, Ld. APP submits that the matter has been adjourned on number of occasions because of the prolonging tactics used by the accused. She further submits that witnesses have attended the court on number of occasions but on every time accused did not cross examine the witness for one or other reason. Thus, she submits that accused has misused his liberty. As such, she submits that accused is not entitled for grant of bail and prayed for rejection of application.

Reasons :-

6) Matter is of the year 2014. Examination of one of the

witness is completed. Examination-in-chief of another witness is deferred. Thus, it may take time to conclude the trial. However, the Ld. Advocate for the accused submitted that accused is ready to proceed with the matter on each and every date. He also submitted that accused will not prolong the matter. Thus, considering the aforesaid submissions to my mind no purpose would be served by keeping accused behind bar. Hence, the application is deserves to be allowed. Hence, the following order.

Order

- (1) Application Exh.43 is hereby allowed.
- (2) Applicant Vinod Vitthalrao Ingale be released on bail in connection with Spl.Case(ACB)No.20/2014 and Crime No.3072/2014, for the offences punishable under sections 7, 13(1)(d) and 13(2) of Prevention of Corruption Act on his executing P. R.Bond of Rs.50,000/- and surety in like amount.
- (3) Applicant shall attend and proceed with the matter on each and every date and shall not drag the matter.

Date : 28.08.2025.

[Sanjay P. Shinde]
Additional Session Judge,
Amravati

Certificate

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file are same word for word as per original order.

Name of Steno : P. J. Choudhary

Court's name : District & Sessions Court No.4,
Amravati

Date : 28-08-2025.

Signed by P.O. on : 28-08-2025.

Uploaded on : 28-08-2025.