

**R.C.S.No.19/2015**  
**Gajanan Vs. Secretary**

**ORDER BELOW EXH.13**  
(Passed on 22<sup>nd</sup> September, 2017)

1. By this application defendant no.2 is seeking permission for amendment in W.S. as per Order 6 Rule 17 of C.P.C..

2. Defendant no.2 submits that, in the W.S. wrong description from southern side east shown of the suit property to that respect he wants to clarify. According to him there lane of 3 x 7 ft. belonging to defendant no.2 from northern side. According to him after rejection of T.I. application, the defendant no.2 has constructed his wall without leaving necessary space. Hence, he prays for carrying on the amendment.

3. Plaintiffs have objected the application by reply. It is stated that the entire description of the suit property will be changing. There is no provision to that effect. The nature of suit will be changing. Present application will fill up the lacunas. The proposed amendment is denied in toto. Therefore as per the relevant provision of law application be rejected.

4. Perused the entire record. Heard. Following points arise for my determination I have recorded my findings for the reasons stated herein below :-

	<b><u>Points</u></b>		<b><u>Findings</u></b>
1	Whether the amendment sought is just and reasonable ?	-	Yes.
2	Whether the amendment sought will assists in determining the really question in contravercy ?	-	Yes.
3	Whether the amendment sought will change the nature of suit ?	-	No.
4	To whom is will cause prejudice ?	-	To defendant no.2

**R.C.S.No.19/2015**  
**Gajanan Vs. Secretary**

5   What Order ?	-	As per final order.
------------------	---	---------------------

**REASONS**

**AS TO POINT NOS. 1 TO 4 :-**

5. Perused the entire record. Heard. The proposed amendment appears to be clarification of boundaries. The proposed amendments is not the contrary pleadings. The proposed amendment will not change the nature of suit.

6. Certainly proposed amendments are material in nature. But more clear facts of suit will be attributed by way of proposed amendment. The claim will be clearly identifiable after the proposed amendment. In my opinion, the proposed amendment will give more clear description of the claim. Though, defendant no.2 is failing to adduce sufficient reasons but this fact does not permit to draw adverse inference against the defendant no.2 that at the time of institution of suit defendant no.2 is not due diligent. The facts narrated by the defendant no.2 in the pleading directly or indirectly clarifies the facts of suit.

7. No substantial prejudice will be causing to the plaintiff at this stage. Plaintiffs are having right to cross examination and to contest the amendment sought will avoid the multiplicity of the proceedings. Amendment sought is not unreasonable. It will not change the nature of proceedings.

8. In my opinion parties rights are yet to be adjudicated. If amendment is not carried out it may cause substantial prejudice to the defendant no.2. As substantive rights of parties are involved in the suit. It is always preferable that civil suit shall be decided after detailed evidence and after giving fair opportunity to the parties. Hence in my opinion the amendment sought by the defendant no.2 is just and reasonable. If the amendment is not allowed then

**R.C.S.No.19/2015**  
**Gajanan Vs. Secretary**

defendant no.2 will sustained loss and prejudice. The amendment sought will not change the nature of suit. The amendment will assists in determining the real question in contravercy. It will also avoid multiplicity of litigation.

9. Hence in my opinion defendant no.2 shall be given an opportunity to carry out the amendment, to establish their claims. The plaintiff will get the opportunity to cross examine respective witnesses hence it will not caused substantive prejudice to them. Hence in the interest of justice, I proceed to pass the following order.

**ORDER**

1. Application is Exh.13 is allowed.
2. Defendant no.2 shall carry out the amendment till next date.

Patur  
Date. 22.09.2017

Sd/-  
( V. R. Assudani )  
Civil Judge, Jr. Dn., Patur