



ORDER BELOW EXH. NO. 1 IN CRI. M.A. No.207/2025
(Passed on dated 20.12.2025)

This is a case of seeking relief of maintenance under Sec.144 of BNSS. Roznama indicates that, notice was issue to the non-applicant several time but it could not be served thereafter, the applicant vide application (Exh.4) requested to issue notice through RPAD. Said application allowed, notice was accordingly issued. The envelope of RPAD dated 20.12.2025 (Exh.5) indicates the remark “Not claimed”. It amounts to due service of notice. Therefore, it is sufficient to infer that the non-applicant despite having a knowledge has refused to accept the notice. Therefore, the case is proceeded ex-parte against the non applicant.

Date: 20.12.2025.

(A.G.Tamboli)
Judicial Magistrate First
Class., Murtizapur.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F File Order/Judgment are same, word to word, as per the original Judgment/Order.

Name of the Steno	P. J. Yadav
Name of the Court	CJJD & JMFC, Murtizapur
Date of Order & Judgment	20.12.2025
Order/Judgment Signed by the P.O. on	20.12.2025
Order/Judgment uploaded on	22.12.2025