

R. C. S. No. 20/2013
CNR No.MHAK070002412013

ORDER BELOW EXH. 70
(Passed on 14th of July, 2022)

1. The plaintiff has sought production of some documents in support of his application for temporary injunction. The application is strongly opposed.
2. Perused application, say and record. Heard both sides.
3. Proposed document appears relevant to decide the controversy in hand and to avoid multiplicity of litigations. No prejudice would be caused to the defendant if production is allowed. Accordingly, the application is allowed and production of proposed documents is allowed. Costs in the main cause.

Date : 14/07/2022.

(Nitin C. Pawar)
Civil Judge Junior Division,
Balapur.

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. File Judgment/Order are same, word to word, as per the original order.

| | | |
|---------------------------------|----|--|
| Name of the Court | :- | Civil Judge, J.D. & J.M.F.C., Balapur |
| Name of the Stenographer | :- | Shaikh Rafique Shaikh Rasheed |
| Date of Decision | :- | 14/07/2022 |
| Judgment/Order signed by P.O on | :- | 14/07/2022 |
| Judgment/Order uploaded on | :- | 14/07/2022 |