

**ORDER BELOW EXH. 63**  
(Passed on 01<sup>th</sup> of July, 2022)

1. The plaintiff has sought production of some documents in support of his application for temporary injunction. The application is strongly opposed on the ground that the application is vague and proposed document is irrelevant.
2. Perused application, say and record. Heard both sides.
3. Undoubtedly, there is no mention as to name and relevancy of document in the application. However, the plaintiff has file the document itself alongwith list annexed to this application. The proposed document is an affidavit of a person as regards purported illegal construction on the suit property, removal of the illegal construction on 19.04.2022 and preparation on part of defendant to make new construction on the suit property. Thus, proposed document appears relevant to decide the controversy in hand and to avoid multiplicity of litigations. No prejudice would be caused to the defendant if production is allowed. Accordingly, the application is allowed and production of proposed documents is allowed. Costs in the main cause.

**Date : 01/07/2022.**

**(Nitin C. Pawar)**  
**Civil Judge Junior Division,**  
**Balapur.**

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. File Judgment/Order are same, word to word, as per the original order.

Name of the Court	:-	Civil Judge, J.D. & J.M.F.C., Balapur
Name of the Stenographer	:-	Shaikh Rafique Shaikh Rasheed
Date of Decision	:-	01/07/2022
Judgment/Order signed by P.O on	:-	01/07/2022
Judgment/Order uploaded on	:-	01/07/2022