

RCS No.05/2010.
Ramkishor .vrs. Secretary,
Sangvi.

Order Below Exh. 50
(Passed on 11/03/2016.)

01] The plaintiff has filed the application for exhibiting the documents i.e. Namula-8 of property No. 174 and 166.

02] Read the application and say of defendant.

03] The Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has submitted that xerox copies of these documents have filed at the time of institution of suit. But, the original could not be traced during the examination-in-chief. He further submitted that these documents are public documents, therefore, they may kindly be exhibited. On the other hand, the Learned Counsel for the defendant has submitted that the Namuna-8 of property no. 174 and 166 are not issued by the defendant, therefore, the application may kindly be rejected.

04] Perused the application, say of defendant and submission of both sides. It appears that the xerox copies of said documents were filed by the plaintiff during the institution of suit. These documents are public documents and certified by the concerned Gram Panchayat with signature of Sarpanch and Sachiv, Sangvi and sealed from the said office. The cross-examination of the plaintiff yet to begin and the defendant has liberty to discard the contents of these documents or documents itself during his evidence. It is pertinent to note here that mere exhibiting documents does not mean its contents are proved. Hence, I pass the following order.

Order

01] The application is allowed.

02] The documents i.e. Namuna- 8 of property No. 174 and 166 is exhibited at Exh.No.51 and 52 respectively.

03] Both the parties to take note.

Sd/-
(B.M. Kale.)
Civil Judge (J.D.), Balapur.

Certificate

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file are same word for word as per original order.

Name of Steno : S.V. Indane.
Court Name : C.J.J.D. & J.M.F.C., Balapur.
Date : 11/03/2016.
Signed by Presiding
Officer on : 11/03/2016.
Uploaded on : 11/03/2016.
