

ORDER BELOW EXH. 32

(Passed on 09-03-2026)

By this application, the plaintiff has prayed for status-quo order in respect of the possession of suit property under execution of decree.

2. The application is opposed by the Advocate for plaintiff on the say that, the suit itself is not maintainable.

3. Heard both sides.

4. The Learned Advocate for plaintiff submits that, the plaintiff was not party to the earlier proceeding. Her rights are being infringed in the execution proceeding. Per-contra, the Learned Advocate for defendant brought to my notice that, the plaintiff had appeared in the execution proceeding as a obstructionist. Her application was already decided by this Court. Now this Court cannot stay the execution proceeding. The plaintiff and this Court are bound by the decree and this Court cannot stay its own decree. Hence, I do not find any reason to allow the application. Accordingly, the following order is passed.

ORDER

The application Exh.32 is rejected.

Date: 09.03.2026.

(Shivnath M. Kale)
Civil Judge Senior Divison,
Akot.