



CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.171/2024.

Ritu Mukesh Bisen Vs. Mahesh Tarachand Makhija &
another.

~~~~~

**ORDER BELOW EX.11.**

( Dated : 16.12.2025 )

By filing this application, appellant / accused seeks permission to furnish one surety for five appeals. It is contended that five appeals are pending between same appellant and respondent. All appeals are filed against the judgment and order of conviction passed against the appellant. Appeals are Criminal Appeal Nos.170/2024 to 174/2024. It is submitted by the appellant that it is very difficult for her to arrange five sureties. Respondent has opposed the application on the ground that there is no such provision.

2) Heard both sides.

3) It is undisputed that total five appeals are filed by present appellant against the judgment passed against her. It is equally undisputed that there are five different judgments of conviction against the appellant in five different complaints filed in respect of separate cheques. In such situation, it is not clear how one surety can be accepted for five different appeals. Had the appellant furnished sureties in one or two appeals, she could have sought sufficient time to furnish sureties in other appeals. By filing present application, the appellant has not complying the order of suspension passed in the appeal. Said order is passed on 16.8.2024. With some efforts, appellant could have furnish sureties in all matters by now. The application has no merit. In the event, following order.

**ORDER**

Application Ex.11 is rejected.

[ A. D. Kshirsagar ]  
Additional Sessions Judge, Akola.

Date:- 16.12.2025.

N.V. Khokale (Stenographer Grade-1)