

Session Trial No. 78/2025
State .Vrs. Ravi Parmar
(CNR No. MHAK010021962025)

ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT NO. 5
(Passed on 13th November, 2025)

1) This is an application filed by the applicant/accused under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. for releasing him regular bail.

2) On 17.03.2025, a report was lodged against an unknown person in Ramdas Peth Police Station, Akola. It is alleged that on 16.03.2025 at about 6.00 p.m., informant started for Akola from Hiwarkhed by bus along with her husband and children. At about 8.00 pm., the informant boarded the passenger train for Akola. When train reached Ugwa station one unknown person was staring at the informant and she suspected him as a thief. At about 9.00 p.m. the train reached at Akola Railway Station. The person behind her got down from the train and snatched Mangalsutra from her neck. The informant raised alarm. So, her husband rushed behind that person. The informant narrated the incident to police constable who came in the meanwhile. Then, informant went to Railway Police Station, Akola. She was told that her husband was admitted to Government Hospital due to bleeding injuries on his head. His cell phones were not traceable. Husband of the informant had died due to the injuries. The police

investigated the crime and caught the applicant.

3) Learned Counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant falsely implicated in the crime. He has not assaulted the deceased. The alleged property is not seized from the possession of the applicant or on his instructions. The footage of CCTV is not specific. The accused is only earning member of his family. He is ready to abide by any conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of this Court.

4) Say is filed by the Investigating Officer at Exhibit 6 objecting the applicant. Learned APP Shri Gode submitted that the applicant has committed the murder of the husband of the informant. He has torn the skin of the informant while pulling her ear-rings. Applicant is a habitual offender and several offences are pending against him in Madhya Pradesh. He will pressurize the witnesses and is likely to abscond. Hence, it is prayed for releasing him on bail.

5) Heard the parties. Perused the papers on record and the FIR. Recitals of FIR and papers on record show direct involvement of the applicant in the alleged crime. The photographs of the deceased on record show the brutal murder committed with 16 injuries on his person which reflect in the postmortem report. The papers regarding CCTV footage and recovery of the articles from the accused are on record. Learned Counsel for the applicant submitted

and pointed out the lacunas while seizure of the articles that they are shown to have been seized at separate timings through the crime is shown connected. It is also submitted that the case is based on circumstantial evidence and there is no eye witness to the incident. Reliance is placed on following cases of Hon'ble Superior Courts for release on Bail.

- (1) Madhav Balaji Kadan vs. State of Maharashtra, Criminal Application No. 2846 of 2023.
- (2) Shivayogi S/o Adivappa Tatkod vs. State of Karnataka, Criminal Petition No. 101725 of 2025
- (3) Prashant @ Digya Bhanudas Dighe .Vrs. State of Maharashtra, DLD (Cri)-2022-PP-974
- (4) Subhash Sakharamji Bhakre .Vrs. State of Maharashtra DLD (Cri)-2022-PP-1351
- (5) Sachin Bhimrao Naik vs. State of Maharashtra DLD (Cri)-2024-PP-3103
- (6) Maulana Mohd. Amir Rashadi Vs. Stat of U.P. and Anr. 2012 CRI L.J. 1444
- (7) Prabhakar Tevari .Vrs. State of Uttar Pradesh and Anr. AIR Online 2020 SC 96

6) The applicant though has cited above case laws, the facts in the said cases and present facts are different from each other. In this case the incident is about robbery and murder. The informant has alleged about a person staring at her, her husband following him after which husband found injured and then dead. There is a CCTV Footage about the incident. Recovery of ornaments and mobile is made by the police as per the memorandum panchanama. Photographs has filed on record to show how brutally husband of the informant is killed. The

..4..

investigating officer has specifically stated the accused being habitual offender and many cases pending against him in the State of Madhya Pradesh.

7) Considering the overall facts, nature and the gravity of the offence, criminal antecedents of the applicant and the fact that he is likely to abscond on his release being from other state, I am not inclined to release the accused on bail. The application, therefore, deserves to be rejected. Hence, the order.

ORDER

Application stands rejected.

Date : 13.11.2025

[Smt. M. S. Bhadane]
Additional Sessions Judge,
Akola.

Certificate

I hereby certify that the contents of this PDF file are same word for word as per original order:

Name of Steno	:	S.V. Indane,
Court's Name	:	Ad hoc District Judge-1 & A.S.J., Akola
Date of order	:	13.11.2025
Signed on	:	13.11.2025
Uploaded on	:	18.11.2025