

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS AT AKOLA.**

(Presided over by Smt. S. R. Gaikwad, Addl. Sessions Judge )

**CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION No. 407/2026**

(1) **Shehanaz Bee @ Shehnaz**

**Parveen Ramjan Ali,**

Aged about 50 Years, Occ. : Household,  
R/o Ashok Nagar, Sadhana Chowk,  
Behind Sona Hotel, Akot Fail,  
Akola, Tq. and District Akola.

(2) **Guddi @ Samina Shaikh**

**Shafique Shaikh,**

Aged about 40 Years, Occ : Household,  
R/o Near B.M.C.School No.3,  
Indira Nagar, Zopadpatti Road No.14,  
Mumbai, Maharashtra – 400 043.

... **Applicants.**

- **Versus** -

The State of Maharashtra

Through P. S.O., P.S. City Kotwali,

Akola, Tq. and Dist. Akola.

... **Non-applicant.**

=====

Mr. Santosh Sharma, Ld. Advocate for the applicants.

Smt. Sheetal Bhutada, Ld. A.P.P for the State.

=====

**ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT 1.**

[Passed on 05.06.2026]

This is an application under Section 482 of Bhartiya  
Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS) for grant of  
anticipatory bail.

2) The applicants/accused apprehend their arrest in crime

No.140/2026, (S.T.No.84.2026), registered with P.S. City Kotwali, Akola, Tq. and District Akola for the offences punishable under Sections 296, 352(2), 352, 63, 63(a), 64(1), 69, 88 of B.N.S., 2023.

3) Details of the case and the information submitted by Iqbal Khan Ibrahim Khan, the relative of the the applicant/accused supported by his affidavit as per the directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of Zeba Khan Vs State of U.P. & Others 2026 INSC 144 is as under -

**(A) Case Details :**

|                                    |                                                                        |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| FIR Number & Date                  | FIR No.140/2026 Dt. 22.02.2026                                         |
| Police Station, District and State | City Kotwali, Akola, Tq. and District - Akola and State - Maharashtra. |
| Sections invoked                   | 296, 352(2), 352, 63, 63(a), 64(1), 69, 88 of B.N.S., 2023.            |
| Maximum punishment prescribed      | Minimum 10 Years and imprisonment for life.                            |

**(B) Custody & Procedural Compliance :**

|                                   |      |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| Date of Arrest                    | N.A. |
| Total period of custody undergone | N.A. |

**(C) Status of Trial :**

|                                                                                        |                     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Stage of proceeding (Investigation/ Charge-sheet/Cognizance/Framing of Charges / Trial | Charge sheet filed. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|

|                                                   |      |
|---------------------------------------------------|------|
| Total number of witness cited in the charge-sheet | 15.  |
| Number of prosecution witnesses examined.         | Nil. |

**(D) Criminal Antecedents :**

|     |                                             |      |
|-----|---------------------------------------------|------|
| (i) | FIR No. and Police Station                  | Nil. |
|     | Sections                                    | Nil. |
|     | Status<br>(Pending/Acquitted/<br>convicted) | Nil. |

**(E) Previous Bail Applications : No.**

|                                                                                                  |                                   |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Court                                                                                            | Additional Sessions Judge, Akola. |
| Case No.                                                                                         | 229/2026                          |
| Outcome of Case                                                                                  | Rejected.                         |
| Name of the Judicial Officer who passed previous order (if currently posted at the same station) | Additional Sessions Judge, Akola. |

**(F) Coercive Processes :**

|                                             |     |
|---------------------------------------------|-----|
| Whether any Non-Bailable Warrant was issued | No. |
| Whether declared a proclaimed offender      | No. |

**4) Brief facts of the prosecution case are as under :-**

On 10.02.2026, informant lodged FIR. She is 32 years

old widow. In 2020, she got acquainted with accused Shoeb Ali in the Government Hospital as her mother and relative of accused were admitted there for treatment of Corona ailment. Accused Shoeb obtained cell phone number and started conversation with informant. He expressed his love. Informant accepted his marriage proposal. In 2021, Shoeb took informant to Mumbai and had forcible sexual intercourse in one hotel. On 21.12.2022 again accused took informant to Mumbai under the pretext of meeting his maternal aunt. However, he took her in the same hotel and had sexual intercourse with her on multiple occasions. He captured photographs and video clips of the informant in compromising position. Thereafter Shoeb had frequent sexual relations with the informant.

5) As Shoeb was avoiding topic of marriage informant met accused Mohammad Shakil @ Saddam, who is Shoeb's maternal uncle. She met Shoeb's mother Shehnazbi. Both abused her and threatened to beat her. In July 2024, informant discovered her pregnancy. Thereafter Shoeb, Shehnazbi and Shakil took her to the hospital of Dr. Masane and carried out abortion. Thereafter again Shoeb had casual relations with informant. From time to time, he obtained Rs. 2 Lakhs from her as hand loan. On 25.10.2025, Shoeb and informant met near Salasar Hanuman Temple, Akola. Shoeb refused to marry her. He did not pick up her phone call, so

informant made a call to his maternal aunt. She told that Shoeb would not marry her as she is Hindu. She further told that Shoeb would marry her, if she would convert to Islam and pay Rs. 2 Lakhs towards marriage expenses. FIR was registered. Hence applicant filed this application for grant of regular bail.

6) Investigating Officer and Learned APP filed their say vide Exhibit 5 and opposed bail application on various grounds.

7) Learned Advocate Shri Sharma for the applicants has submitted that the investigation of this crime is completed and charge sheet is filed. No recovery is pending. So, the custodial interrogation of the applicants is not necessary. The applicants are deeply rooted in the society. So, there is no chance of their fleeing away. Hence he prayed to release the applicants on anticipatory bail.

8) The Learned A.P.P. Smt. Bhutada has submitted that if the applicants are released on bail they may not attend the Court regularly and may create hurdle in conducting the trial. If the applicants are released they may quarrel with the informant on account of religion. They may tamper the prosecution witnesses. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

9) Heard victim also. She submitted that the main accused Shoeb Ali has misbehaved with her on the say of the applicants. She urged to release the main accused Shoeb Ali and prayed to reject the bail application filed by the present applicants.

10) Considering the application, the say and the case papers I find that, since 2020 the victim had acquaintance and consensual sexual relationship with main accused Shoeb Ali. The relations were strained only when the main accused refused to marry her. Admittedly, the applicant is the 32 years old woman serving in a hospital. Though she has levelled the allegations of her abortion at the hands of Shoeb Ali and the applicants. It prima facie reveals that the victim is herself a adult, matured, understanding woman serving in hospital. It prima facie reveals that the victim is having knowledge and had consensual sexual relationship with Shoeb Ali.

11) The victim herself has stated before this Court to release the main accused and however resisted the present application of his mother and maternal aunt. However, I hold that when the main accused is released on bail on parity the applicants cannot be kept behind bars. Moreover, the investigation is completed. Charge sheet is filed. In such circumstances, I find that no purpose would be served by keeping the woman applicants behind the bars. The

applicants are deeply rooted in the society. There is no possibility of fleeing away. Also there is no possibility of tampering of witnesses. Considering the nature of the offences levelled against the applicants when the victim herself is asking the Court to release the main accused when all the accused are behind bars. It shows that the victim is stating before the Court to release the main accused without any threat or pressure and she has with her free will submitted to release the main accused. In such circumstances, even the offences are levelled of higher gravity it loses its gravity and seriousness. Thus, I hold that the application deserves to be allowed. With this following order is passed.

### **ORDER**

- (1) Criminal Bail Application No.407/2026 is hereby allowed.
- (2) In the event of arrest the applicants **Shehanaz Bee @ Shehnaz Parveen Ramjan Ali and Guddi @ fSamina Shaikh Shafique Shaikh** be released on bail, in connection with Crime No.140/2026, registered with P. S. City Kotwali, Akola, Tq. and District Akola, for the offences punishable under sections 296, 351(2), 352, 63, 63(a), 64(1) of B.N.S., 2023 on their executing P.B. and S.B. of Rs. 50,000/- each with one surety in like amount each.
- (3) The applicants shall produce their permanent residential address-proofs before the Court and shall intimate change in their addresses, if any, in future to the Court.

..8..

(4) The applicants shall attend the court on given dates regularly.

(5) The application is accordingly disposed off.

**[Surekha Rajratna Gaikwad]**  
Additional Session Judge,  
Akola

Date : 05.06.2026.



**Certificate**

I hereby certify that the contents of this PDF file are same word for word as per original order:

|               |   |                                            |
|---------------|---|--------------------------------------------|
| Name of Steno | : | S.V. Indane                                |
| Court's Name  | : | Ad-hoc District Judge-1<br>& A.S.J., Akola |
| Date of order | : | 05.06.2026                                 |
| Signed by PO  | : | 05.06.2026                                 |
| Uploaded on   | : | 05.06.2026                                 |