



**Special Case NDPS No.75/2025.**  
State of Maharashtra Vs. Adil Ansari & Others.  
**Applicant : Jatin Jagmohandas Doshi.**

**Order Below Ex.59.**

( Dated : 07.02.2026 )

Accused Jatin Jagmohandas Doshi has sought bail under S.483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with crime no.532/2024 registered with Barshitakli police station, Tq. Barshitakli, Dist. Akola for the offence punishable under S.22 (c), 8 (c), 25, 29 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 r/w. S.318 (4), 336 (3), 338 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2) Brief facts of the prosecution case are as under;

On 24.10.2024, informant PI Shankar Shelke, LCB, Akola lodged FIR. On 23.10.2024, he alongwith other police staff was on patrol duty within limits of Barshitakli Police Station. At about 18.00 hours, he received secret information that psychotropic substance Mephedrine (MD) was manufactured in the premises of defunct ginning mill of Mohd. Shafi situated on Barshitakli - Mahagaon road. The information was said drug was manufactured for purpose of sale. Informant immediately intimated to SP, Akola. Thereafter, he sent written intimation to SP, Akola through police constable and took entry in station diary of Barshitakli Police Station.

3) When informant received permission from SP, Akola to conduct raid, he called for two panchas and Gazetted Officer.

He called photographer with camera and video camera. He called a person with electronic weighing scale. After making necessary preparation, the informant with raiding party and other personnel went to the ginning mill. When they entered in the premises of the mill, they found one TATA Zest white coloured car bearing registration No. MH-47-N-6379 in which one person was loading something and two persons were present in the nearby room. Two big ovens were kept in front of the room. Police found accused (1) Pavan Muddanar, (2) Adil Mohd. Shamim Ansari, (3) Firoz Khan Shabbir Khan, (4) Nisar Niyazi Mukhtar Niyazi and (5) Mohd. Irfan Mohd. Yousuf on the spot. Raiding party introduced themselves. The accused persons disclosed that Ephedrine is manufactured from various chemicals and articles kept in the premises. After following usual procedure, raid was conducted.

4) Police found substantial muddemal articles in the premises as below :

- (a) Ephedrine powder contained in 22 plastic packets, total 5548 gms. worth Rs.1,38,70,000/-;
- (b) 133 Kgs. Soda ash;
- (c) 410 Ltrs. Acetone;
- (d) 60 Ltrs. Chloroform;
- (e) 40 Ltrs. Methamphetamine (M.M.);
- (f) 140 Ltrs. Prepolphinol (P.P.);
- (g) Various articles like tubs, heating instruments, tripod, weighing glasses, porcelain mug, two hot air ovens used to dry Ephedrine, generator, glass mugs,

water pump, weighing scale and other articles used to manufacture Ephedrine.

5) After taking samples and following usual procedure, police seized the muddemal articles. Tata Zest car was also seized. Total worth of seized muddemal is Rs.2,38,70,000/-. After completion of the procedure, FIR was registered. During investigation, other accused including present accused Jatin were revealed. Accused was arrested on 30.10.2024. Since then, he is in custody.

6) State has opposed bail application on various grounds.

7) Ld. Advocate Mr. K.M.A. Hussain for the applicant has submitted that earlier this court rejected the bail application on the ground of pending investigation and that other accused were to be arrested then. Now, investigation is complete. He has further submitted that Hon'ble Bombay High Court granted bail to the accused. Subsequently Hon'ble Supreme Court cancelled the bail. He has submitted that after cancellation of bail by Hon'ble Supreme Court, the accused can seek bail from the trial court on the ground of change in circumstances. There is possibility that trial may take long time. Further custody is not necessary. Applicant has no concern with the alleged offence. He is ready to abide by conditions of bail.

8) Ld. Spl. P.P. Shri R. M. Akotkar has submitted that the offence is serious in nature. Role of the accused is elaborated in earlier bail order passed by this court. Hon'ble Supreme Court

cancelled the bail of the accused. There is no change in circumstances thereafter for which the accused can claim bail. He has prayed to reject the application.

9) At the time of raid, the accused who were present on the spot gave information that Ephedrine was been manufactured by them. On the basis of such information, FIR was registered for Ephedrine which is controlled substance. This court rejected bail application of the accused. Hon'ble Bombay High Court granted bail. At that time, case of Ephedrine was before Hon'ble High Court. Subsequently Hon'ble Supreme Court cancelled the bail of accused. That is how accused is presently in custody and is seeking bail. The chronology is described with particulars in later part of this order.

10) Though initially case of Ephedrine was registered, after chemical analysis of seized muddemal samples, it was discovered that what was manufactured is Mephedrone (MD). It is very sensitive substance covered under NDPS Act. Its commercial quantity is 50 gms. only. Seized quantity is about 5.5 Kgs. It shows the seriousness of offence. It also shows that manufacturing of Mephedrone was going on full scale.

11) Accused Jatin filed Misc. Criminal Application No.696/2024 seeking bail before this court. Same was rejected on 22.11.2024. Thereafter, Hon'ble High Court granted bail to the accused in Criminal Application (BA) No.1150/2024 by order dated 18.12.2024. State of Maharashtra preferred Criminal Appeal No.4614/2025 before Hon'ble Supreme Court. By order

dated 27.10.2025, Hon'ble Supreme Court cancelled bail of the accused. The accused was granted four weeks time to surrender and it was extended by few more weeks. In due course, accused has surrendered before this court and has been taken in judicial custody.

12) Role of present accused Jatin in the offence was discussed in the order passed in his earlier Bail Application No.696/2024. Hon'ble Supreme Court in paragraph no.4 of its order mentioned this fact. For the sake of convenience, paragraphs no.13 & 14 from earlier order are reproduced below.

“13) The information received from various accused persons and other witnesses show how instruments and chemicals required for manufacture of Ephedrine are collected. No doubt, the applicant is not found on the spot. His role is supply of necessary articles/chemicals required in making of Ephedrine. The information obtained from the applicant shows that he is acquainted with co-accused Shaikh Ashraf who is also working as broker in chemicals. In August-2024, he arranged for various articles for Shaikh Ashraf and sent the articles at one Dhaba near Washim. The said articles were collected subsequently by the accused found on the spot.

14) Say filed by the Investigating Officer shows that articles and chemicals required to manufacture Ephedrine was arranged by accused Jatin in collusion with accused Ashraf from various shops in Mumbai. Forged bills were prepared in the name of Shri Bileshwar Corporation, A.K. International and Sun trading. The supply was sent in the name of purchaser Devadi Conchem and Traders, Akola using its seal. Witness Pratap Mehta is the owner of said firm and he disclosed that someone has forged his seal and used it on forged bill. Similarly, the articles were sent in same vehicle but three separate bills, each below Rs.50,000/- were prepared and E-Way bill was not prepared. Present applicant tried to conceal the consignment and to avoid disclosure that the articles/chemicals were sent to manufacture Ephedrine. Police

inspected cell phone of the applicant. Police found communication between applicant and accused Ashraf. Police found photos of bills / tax invoices of Shri Bileshwar Corporation, A.K. International and bills are in respect of various chemicals as found on the spot as well as various articles. It shows that the applicant arranged for required material to supply to the accused found on the spot”.

13) As I have already mentioned aforesaid role of the accused has been considered by Hon'ble Supreme Court at the time of cancellation of bail. After passing of order cancelling the bail of accused, there is no change in circumstances. Once Hon'ble Supreme Court cancelled bail of the accused, it is very difficult to accept submissions of Ld. Advocate of the accused that this court can consider bail application of the accused afresh.

14) In view of aforesaid discussion, there is no case to grant bail to the accused. Hence, following order.

**ORDER.**

Application is rejected.

Date:-07.02.2026.

[ A. D. Kshirsagar ]  
Special Judge (NDPS), Akola.

N.V. Khokale (Stenographer Grade-1)