

MHAK010008032026

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION (BA)**

**NO. 126/2026.**

(Imran Khan Yasin Khan)

~~~~~

**ORDER BELOW EX.01.**

( Dated : 10.03.2026 )

Applicant Imran Khan Yasin Khan has sought bail under S.483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with crime no. 92/2026 registered with City Kotwali police station, Akola, Tq. Akola, Dist. Akola for the offence punishable under Sections 77, 75(1),(3), 76, 64, 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2) Brief facts of the prosecution case are as under ;

On 03.02.2026, informant lodged FIR. She is in the business of Beauty Parlor. She used to purchase cosmetics and other articles from the shop Pari Bindi Ghar situated near Khandelwal Jewellers, Akola. Accused Raheman @ Mohammad Mustafa Mohammad Salim Teli is the owner of the shop. He obtained Cell Phone number of informant for sending photographs of various products. Subsequently, his brother accused Mohammad Asif purchased four wheeler from the husband of informant.

3) In June 2024, informant went to purchase articles from the shop Pari Bindi Ghar. As there was nobody present in the shop, accused Raheman touched breast and other body parts of the informant and outraged her modesty. He used to harass the informant from time to time. This caused rift between informant

and her husband. Subsequently, accused Raheman called informant to his shop for some talk. When she went there, he showed her video clip of the earlier incident. He blackmailed her and took her to one flat in Transport Lane. Raheman told her that it is a flat of his friend Subhan. Accused forcibly committed sexual relations with the informant and also prepared video clip of the act. Using said video clip, accused called her repeatedly and committed rape on her. When informant inspected cell phone of Raheman, she found similar video clips of one women known to her. On enquiry, informant came to know similar story.

4) Raheman blackmailed the informant and compelled her to have sexual relations with his brother Mohammad Asif. Said incident occurred in October, 2025. Video clip of the incident was prepared. Both brothers repeatedly committed rape. They also obtained Rs. 5,00,000/- from time to time. They threatened her for conversion of religion. On 24.01.2026, accused Chandu Wankhade told informant that Raheman showed him her obscene video clips. Chandu used to pay money obtained from informant to Raheman. In October, 2025, Raheman took accused Imran to informant's home. He insisted that informant should give sexual pleasure to Imran calling him his elder brother. Imran tried to establish sexual relations with the informant. Informant got fed up with constant blackmailing. FIR was registered. Accused was arrested on 03.02.2026. Since then, he is in custody.

5) State has opposed bail application on various grounds.

6) Learned advocate Shri. Dildar Khan for the applicant has submitted that informant lodged FIR under Section 498-A IPC against her husband. NC report dated 14.07.2025 is quite different. FIR is lodged in 2026. Role of this accused is very limited. There is allegation of demand of sexual pleasure and refusal. FIR does not show that applicant touched the informant. At the most Section 75(1) or (3) is attracted. It carries imprisonment upto 3 years. Applicant is arrested on 03.02.2026. Custodial interrogation is conducted. Investigation is practically complete. Applicant is local resident. His wife is ill. He is ready to abide by conditions of bail.

7) Learned A.P.P. Shri. R.M. Akotkar has submitted that the offence is serious in nature. Role of applicant is clearly stated in FIR. There are serious allegations. Investigation is in progress. If accused is granted bail, there is likelihood of tampering and absconding. He has strongly opposed the application.

8) Informant has appeared in the matter. She has strongly objected for granting bail to the applicant. It is submitted that, there is apprehension of harassment, if bail is granted.

9) It can be seen that main accused Raheman gained confidence of the informant as she was regular customer of his shop. Thereafter he outraged her modesty in his shop and prepared video clip of the incident. He blackmailed the informant

of defaming in society and amongst her relatives. Being a helpless woman, she was sexually exploited by Raheman repeatedly by making further video clips. Thereafter he compelled her to have sexual relations with his brother. Both of them not only sexually exploited the informant but also economically exploited her.

10) In the chain of such exploitation, Raheman took accused Imran to informant's home in October, 2025. He insisted informant to give sexual pleasure to Imran. Thereafter Imran tried to establish sexual relations with her. Though Shri. Dildar Khan has submitted that FIR does not show that accused Imran touched the informant, allegations against him is very clear. It denotes attempt to commit rape by establishing sexual relations against free will of the informant. FIR need not provide graphic details of the actual acts. So description that accused Imran tried to establish sexual relations is sufficient to gather attempt of rape. Furthermore he went with accused Raheman to the house of informant. They must had some prior talk and Imran must be aware of the purpose of visit. The very fact that he went to the house of informant with accused Raheman, makes his intention clear. It also shows that he voluntarily participated in sinister plan of accused Raheman to sexually exploit the informant. He went further and tried such sexual exploitation. It cannot be said that his role is very limited and it is the case of mere demand of sexual favour and refusal.

BA No.126/2026 (O-Ex.1)

11) Investigation is in progress. Allegations against accused are serious. It is not a proper case to grant bail to the applicant accused. Hence, following order.

**Order**

Application is rejected.

Date:- 10.03.2026.

[ A. D. Kshirsagar ]  
Additional Sessions Judge,  
Akola.

R.S. Shendre (Stenographer Grade-II)