

(The testimony is recorded through video conferencing. During video conferencing, learned APP, learned advocate for accused are present. The audio and video quality is clear. The witness can be clearly seen as well as her voice can be clearly heard.)

DEPOSITION OF WITNESS NO.6 FOR THE PROSECUTION

I do on solemn affirmation state that :

My name is : Dr. Shraddha Vitthal Adsul

My age is : 29 years.

My occupation is : Medical Officer

R/o. : Nayar Hospital, Mumbai

EXAMINATION IN CHIEF

(by Ld. APP Shri S. A. Patekar)

I am educated upto MBBS and NBE DIP Ophthalmology. I was working as medical officer at Parner rural hospital from March 2021 to August 2021. On 4.3.2021, patients namely Subhadra Sitaram Pujari and Tukaram Sitaram Pujari had been to my hospital. I examined Subhadra Sitaram Pujari, aged 65 years having OPD No.131/2021 and MLC No.368/2021. She had brought police paper with her. I examined Subhadra at 5.15 pm. On 4.3.2021. She was having identification mark as black mole on abdomen (iliac creast). She had come with history of assault. She was having history of Slap on left side of cheek. Upon examination, I found that redness was present on her cheek and there was impression of slap on her cheek. The age of injury was less than 24 hours, it was simple in nature. It might be caused by hard and blunt object. Second injury was about history of fall on left side of her thigh. There was no swelling and no tenderness on thigh. It was a blunt trauma injury. Age of injury was less than 24

hours, it was simple in nature. I provided OPD based treatment to the patient. I personally prepared MLC. Now the MLC of Subhadra Pujari is shown to me. It bears my signature and its contents are correct. The MLC of Subhadra Pujari is given Exh.26.

I examined Tukaram Pujari at 5.20 pm. on 4.3.2021. He was having OPD No.130/2021 and MLC No.367. He was having identification mark as black mole on left thigh. Upon examination, I found 2 injuries on Tukaram. Firstly, he was having history of blunt trauma on buttock. There was no swelling and tenderness on buttock. The cause of injury was by blunt and hard object. Age of injury was less than 24 hours and it was simple in nature. Second injury was nail abrasion on right under arm and chest. It was measuring 1x2 cm. Age of injury was less than 24 hours and nature of injury was simple. Cause of injury was by finger nail. I personally prepared MLC. Now the MLC of Tukaram Pujari is shown to me. It bears my signature and its contents are correct. The MLC of Tukaram Pujari is given Exh.27.

The injuries mentioned by me in MLCs might be sustained if the injuries are inflicted by use of a stick. The original certificates are with me and I have deposed in accordance of it.

CROSS - EXAMINATION

(By accused Ld. Adv. B.M.Pathare)

I do not remember my date of joining at Parner rural hospital. It is true to say that Tukaram Pujari firstly came to my hospital and hence his OPD number was 130 and MLC number was 367. It is true to say that Subhadra Pujari came afterwards and hence her MLC number was 368 and OPD number was 131. It is not true to say that I firstly examined Tukaram Pujari. I

voluntarily say that as Subhadra Pujari was old aged and as she was having complaint, I firstly examined her. I prepared MLC register in accordance with order of examination of patients. I have not mentioned reason in MLC of Subhadra as to why I have examined her firstly. The police authority number of both patients is mentioned as 100/2021.

Que. Whether police yadi given for medical examination is available with you today?

Ans. I have the soft copy of the letter and hard copy is in the hospital.

I do not have medical register with me today. It is not necessary to mention in the MLC as to who has told the history to the doctor unless the patient is a minor. It is true to say that the history of assault mentioned in MLC is assault on 4.3.2021 at 4.30 pm. at Pujari Mala, Punewadi was told by the patient. It is not true to say that I have not mentioned type of injury in the type of injury column. There are 2 types of injury as per medical jurisprudence which are simple and grievous.

Que. There is no type of injury mentioned in the type of injury column?

Ans. It is mentioned in the last column.

It is not true to say that I have not mentioned the site, shape and dimension in the site, shape and dimension column of MLC of Subhadra Pujari. I say that I have mentioned dimension of injury in the MLC.

Que. What is the dimension mentioned?

Ans. It is not necessary to give the dimension of each injury as it would depend upon the injury.

There is no necessity to mention the shape of blunt trauma injury. It is not true to say that there was no clarity as to site, shape and dimension and hence I have not mentioned site, shape and dimension in MLC column.

Que. As there was no swelling and tenderness on second injury of Subhadra, there was no such injury to Subhadra?

Ans. Even if there is no swelling and tenderness, however, if the patient is complaining about the injury, then as per law, it is necessary to mention it in MLC.

It is not true to say that as there was no symptom of injury, hence the age of second injury was not mentioned. I say that when the age of injuries is the same, then collectively the age of injuries can be mentioned in MLC.

Que. Whether you have mentioned in MLC that you have collectively mentioned the age of injury?

Ans. I have collectively mentioned age of injury.

Que. On Tukaram Pujari as there was no swelling and tenderness about first injury and hence there was no symptom of the injury?

Ans. There was symptom of injury and no sign and hence it was mentioned in MLC.

It is true to say that history of injury No.2 was not given by the said patient. I can not tell whether the human nail is admeasuring as 1 cm. X 2 cm. It is true to say that the second injury of abrasion might be caused if someone is working in the field. It is not true to say that I have not mentioned nature of injury of second injury.

Que. The injuries sustained by Subhadra and first injury sustained by Tukaram might be caused if they fall on the ground?

Ans. Except injury of slap about Subhadra, other injury of Subhadra and first injury of Tukaram might be sustained if they fall on the ground. It is true to say that there is no seal of Parner rural hospital on MLCs.

Que. There is no seal of medical officer on MLCs?

Ans. I cannot tell about it as the seal might be available on the original MLCs.

It is not true to say that I do not have any documentary proof apart from my oral words to show that the MLCs on record are the official record of the Parner rural hospital. It is not true to say that I am deposing falsely that the MLCs on record are of Parner rural hospital. It is not true to say that I am deposing falsely that I have examined Subhadra and Tukaram and issued MLCs in that regard upon examining them. It is not true to say that I am deposing false.

Cross examination is over.

No Re-examination.

R.O.A.C.

Date : 04.11.2025.

(Dr. N.S. Sabnis)
Judicial Magistrate First Class,
(Court No.2) Parner.

CERTIFICATE

Taken before me and signed by me in presence of accused to whom the deposition was explained and opportunity given to cross-examine.

Date : 04.11.2025.

(Dr. N.S. Sabnis)
Judicial Magistrate First Class,
(Court No.2) Parner.