

Order below ex. 17 in RCR No 76/26

- 1) perused appln and documents filed alongwith list ex. 20. Heard plaintiff.
- 2) It is contended that deft No ⑤ is illegally constructing road widening work sanctioned by deft nos. ③ & ④ by illegally cutting trees existing in the suit property by encroaching upon the suit property from east-west side and if defendants are allowed to do said road-widening work, plaintiff would suffer irreparable loss. Hence, the application.
- 3) Today deft. Nos. ④ & ⑤ have appeared and sought time for filing say & w.r. which is granted. However, in spite of repeatedly calling deft No. ⑤ for three consecutive times at 4:30 p.m., he has not filed say to present application. So also in spite of service of summons & notices deft Nos. ① to ③ have not appeared.
- 4) That being so after going through the contents of application in the light of documents, I prima facie find substance in the apprehension made by plaintiff & no prejudice would be caused to deft & plaintiff, if appln is allowed. Hence, the order:-

ORDER

- 1) Both the parties are directed to maintain status-quo in respect of present condition of the suit property as shown in the copies of photographs filed with list ex. 03, till filing say of defendant to ex. 05.

Date 8-8/11/26

CA. M. Kulkarni  
Jt. C.D. Bhigonda