

RCC. NO. 325/2021

State V/S Nitin Pandharinath Mhase

**ORDER BELOW EXH.NO.1**

Today the matter is fixed for argument. It appears from roznama that, the concerned clerk has inadvertently and due to oversight mention in roznama as exh. 34 instead of 27 and thereafter exh. 35 to 44 carried out by mistake hence concerned clerk is directed to correct the exh. no. 35 to 44 instead of exh. 28 to 37. The concerned clerk do needful.

Rahuri.  
Date:09/03/2026

(Shri.P. H. Patil)  
Judicial Magistrate F. C.(Court No.2)  
Rahuri.