

ORDER BELOW EXH.08 IN S.C.C NO.9400/2024

(State Vs. Maruti Chaughule)

This is an application moved by the accused for discharge from the offence alleged under section 65 (e) of The Bombay Prohibition Act, 1949.

2. It is the contention of the Learned Advocate of the accused that, the accused was falsely implicated in the present matter. Furthermore, the C.A. report is not filed on record. Hence, accused be discharged from the alleged offence.

3. The Ld. A.P.P filed his say on the back side of the application and strongly resisted it. There is every material against accused. The application is devoid of merit and therefore, not tenable. Hence, he prayed that, the present application be rejected.

4. Perused the record. It appears that prosecution has brought on record ample evidence to proceed against the accused. Opportunity need to be given to the prosecution to file on record the C.A. report on next date. Hence, the application is devoid of merits. Hence, the following order :

ORDER

The application is rejected.

Date : 29.09.2025
Place : Ahmednagar

(Sachin R. Shinde)
Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Court No.18, Dist. Ahmednagar