

Session Case No. 346/2024

Exhibit No. 29

DEPOSITION OF WITNESS No. 5 FOR THE PROSECUTION

I do hereby on solemn affirmation state that :-

Name	:-	Kiran Subhash Salunke
Age	:-	33 Years.
Occupation	:-	PSI, Lasalgaon Police Station, Tal. Niphad.
R/o.	:-	Lasalgaon, Tal. Niphad, Dist. Nashik.

Examination-in-Chief by Shri. Choudhari, Ld.A.P.P. for the State :

On 11.09.2023, I was attached to Bhingar Camp police station as a PSI. On that day, Crime No. 591/2023 was handed over to me as per the oral orders of the PSO. Along with the investigation, I received the complaint and FIR. I perused the complaint. Thereafter, on 13.09.2023, I prepared spot panchanama. Now, I am shown the spot panchanama at Exh.20. It is the same. It bears my signature and the signatures of the panchas. The contents therein are true and correct. On 13.09.2023, I prepared a seizure panchanama of the informant's shirt. Now, I am shown the seizure panchanama at Exh.21. It is the same. It bears my signature and the signatures of the panchas and the informant. The contents therein are true and correct. I can identify the seized muddemal shirt, if shown to me. Now, I am shown the said seized shirt (Article-A). It is the same. Thereafter, I recorded the statements of the witnesses. I obtained the medical certificates of the injured persons. During the course of investigation, it was revealed that the accused had assaulted the informant bus driver, abused him and caused obstruction in the discharge of official duties; therefore, I filed charge-sheet against the accused. I can identify the accused if shown to me. Today, the accused is not present in court as the application for his absence has been granted. There is no dispute regarding the identity of the accused.

Cross-examination by Ld.Adv. A.A. Sayyad for accused :

2. It is true to say that the scene of the incident is clearly visible from DSP Chowk. It is true to say that CCTV cameras are installed at DSP Chowk and they are in working condition. It is true to say that the said CCTV footage was not seized during the investigation. It is true to say that no identification parade of the accused was conducted in the presence of the informant. It is true to say that the schedule regarding the ST bus allotted to the informant was not attached to the investigation papers. It is true to say that at the time of lodging the complaint, the informant had not stated in the complaint that two persons entered the bus and assaulted him, that I parked the bus at the bus stand, or that the button of my uniform was broken. It is true to say that I did not record the statements of the persons, who identified the accused by name. It is true to say that I did not include in the investigation the names of the persons, who identified the accused or any documents proving the existence of such persons. It is true to say that I did not collect the passenger tickets during the investigation. It is true to say that I did not include the tickets of the passengers whose statements I recorded in the investigation. It is not true to say that I recorded the statements of the witnesses on the say of the informant. It is not true to say that I conducted a false investigation and filed a false charge-sheet. It is not true to say that I filed a false charge-sheet at the instance of the informant despite there being no sufficient evidence against the accused. It is not true to say that I am deposing falsely.

Cross-examination completed and no re-examination.

R.O.A.C.

Date : 06.03.2026.
Ahmednagar.

(Rajesh M. Tayade)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Ahmednagar.