

Session Case No. 346/2024

Exhibit No. 19

DEPOSITION OF WITNESS No. 3 FOR THE PROSECUTION

I do hereby on solemn affirmation state that :-

Name	:-	Prathmesh Bhalchandra Pawar
Age	:-	32 Years.
Occupation	:-	Service
R/o.	:-	Near Laltaki, Ahilyanagar.

Examination-in-Chief by Shri. Choudhari, Ld.A.P.P. for the State :

On 13.09.2023, I was called by PSI Salunkhe for preparing spot panchanama at the spot of incident. At that time, another panch Laxman Gorad was present with me. The informant S.T. driver Pawar showed the spot of incident to us. The spot of incident is situated some distance from DSP chowk to Darga. On eastern side, there is footpath and the compound of Army camp. On western side, there is a Darga, on north-south side, there a road of Aurangabad. The panchanama was started from 11:40 and completed 12:45 hrs. After reading over the panchanama I signed the panchanama. Now the panchanama is shown to me. It is the same. It bears my signature at Sr.no.1. The contents are true and correct. The rough sketch thereupon is also true and correct. It is marked as **Exh.20**. On the spot, PSI Salunkhe took two photographs of the incident. The photographs are marked as **Article-B**.

2. Thereafter, we went at Bhingar Camp police station to prepare another seizure panchanama. At that time, the informant Pawar produced the shirt in police station and same is seized in my presence and in my presence the shirt after seized and sealed. On that sealed packet, I gave my signature. Now the panchanama is shown to me. It is the same. It bears my signature at Sr.no.1. The contents are true and correct. It is marked as **Exh.21**. I can identify the muddemal if shown to me. The shirt was of

khaki colour and its buttons were broken. Now the unsealed condition packet is shown to me. On that packet, there is my signature. Now the shirt is shown to me. It is the same. It is at Article-A.

Cross-examination by Ld.Adv. A.A. Sayyad for accused :

3. It is true to say that I am the public servant, therefore, as per the direction of my senior, I went at police station and spot of incident for preparing panchanama. It is not true to say that there was no any written order for going to prepare panchanama from my office. It is true to say that I have not handed over any written order of my office to the Investigating Officer in respect of going to prepare panchanama. It is true to say that from DSP chowk, the spot of incident can easily seen. It is true to say that at the time of preparing spot panchanama, the informant was in civil dress. It is true to say that I cannot tell the full name of the informant. It is true to say that the informant was in service in ST department and in that respect, he did not show any documentary evidence to me. It is not true to say that on 13.09.2023, no any spot panchanama and seizure panchanama were prepared by police in my presence. It is true to say that after 13.09.2023, I was not visited police station for preparing any panchanama. It is not true to say that on 13.09.2023, no any seizure panchanama was prepared by police in the police station in my presence. It is not true to say that on the say of PSI, I am deposing falsely. It is not true to say that whatever I deposed in my examination-in-chief is false. It is not true to say that I am deposing falsely.

Cross-examination completed and no re-examination.

R.O.A.C.

Date : 10.12.2025.
Ahmednagar.

(Rajesh M. Tayade)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Ahmednagar.