

(Through V.C. from Shahada District Court)

Session Case No. 327/2019

Exhibit No. 317

DEPOSITION OF WITNESS No. 6 FOR THE PROSECUTION

I do hereby on solemn affirmation state that :-

Name	:-	Ramesh Kashinath Ratnaparakhi
Age	:-	63 Years.
Occupation	:-	Retired- Police Inspector
R/o.	:-	Godali, Shahada, Dist. Nandurbar.

Examination-in-Chief by Shri. A.D. Dhage, Ld.A.P.P. for the State :

In the year 2018, I was posted as a Police Sub-Inspector at Kotwali Police Station. On 09.04.2018, I received the investigation of Kotwali P.S. in Crime No. 139/2018 under the written orders of the PSO. Upon receiving the investigation, I was provided with the copy of the complaint and the First Information Report. After perusing the documents, I prepared the spot panchanama on 10.04.2018, which is at Exh.288. It bears my signature and the signatures of two panchas. The contents therein are true and correct. During the spot panchanama, photographs of the damaged vehicles were taken, which are marked as **Article A**. I prepared the panchanama of government vehicle bearing No. MH-16-N-563, marked as Exh.289. It bears my signature and the signatures of the panchas. The contents are true and correct. Photographs taken during this panchanama are marked as **Article B**. On 10.04.2018, I also prepared the panchanama of government vehicle bearing No. MH-16-N-388, marked as Exh.290. It bears my signature and the signatures of the panchas. The contents are true and correct. The photographs taken are marked as **Article C**.

2. On 10.04.2018, I prepared the panchanama of government vehicle Ertiga No. MH-16-N-595, marked as Exh.291. It bears my signature and the signatures of the panchas. The contents are true and correct. The photographs taken are marked as **Article D**.

3. On 11.04.2018, I prepared the panchanama of government vehicle Ertiga No. MH-16-BY-1100, marked as Exh.292. It bears my signature and the signatures of the panchas. The contents are true and correct.

4. On 11.04.2018, I prepared the panchanama of government vehicle Mahindra Bolero No. MH-16-N-539, marked as Exh.293. It bears my signature and the signatures of the panchas. The contents are true and correct. The photographs taken are marked as **Article E**.

5. Thereafter, I recorded the statements of the witnesses. On 11.04.2018, I issued a letter to the Medical Officer to obtain medical certificates and subsequently received them. During the investigation, it was revealed that the accused obstructed the public servants in the discharge of their official duties, pelted stones at the police, damaged government vehicles and injured police personnel. As sufficient evidence was found against the accused, I filed the charge-sheet. I can identify the accused if shown to me. The accused present in Court today are the same.

Examination-in-Chief Concluded.

Cross-examination by Id. Adv. Shri. S.B. Rakte for accused nos. :

6. It is true to say that the informant Handal, was on patrolling duty on the day of the incident. I did not include or attach the attendance register entries regarding the same in the investigation.

It is not true to say that I prepared false panchanamas of the damaged government vehicles. It is true to say that the signatures of the respective owners are not present on the panchanamas of other vehicles I prepared. It is not true to say that I prepared false panchnamas for the other vehicles as well. It is not true to say that I failed to conduct an Identification Parade of the accused before the witnesses. It is not true to say that I recorded false statements of the witnesses. It is not true to say that I collected false medical certificates of the injured. It is true to say that the police station received no complaints regarding damage to private vehicles. It is not true to say that I am falsely deposing that sufficient evidence was found against the accused. It is not true to say that I conducted a false investigation or filed a false charge-sheet. It is not true to say that that I am deposing falsely.

Cross-examination by Adv.Shri.P.S.Dapse for accused nos.42 & 43 :

7. It is true to say that I did not attach the written order by which I received the investigation to the case file. It is true to say that I did not attach the written letters used to call the panchas. It is true to say that no complaints were filed by the public against the accused regarding this matter. It is not true to say that I prepared false panchanamas and attached them to the charge-sheet. It is not true to say that I conducted a false investigation, filed a false charge-sheet and am deposing falsely under the instructions of my superiors or due to political pressure.

Cross-Examination for accused Nos. 9, 19, 35, 54, 64 by Advocate Shri. M.B. Tavale :

Adopted the above cross-examination.

(Deposition)

..4..

(S.C.No. 327/2019)

Cross-examination by Adv. Shri. S.Y. Patel for accused nos.1 & 3 :

Adopted the above cross-examination.

Cross-examination completed and no re-examination.

R.O.A.C.

Date : 05.03.2026.
Ahmednagar.

(Rajesh M. Tayade)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Ahmednagar.