

IN THE COURT OF THE SUB JUDGE, SULTHANBATHERY

Present: Smt. Sreeja Janardanan Nair, Sub Judge

Saturday, the 1st day of February, 2025
 12th day of Magha, 1946

APPEAL SUIT No.13/2023

1. Ravi.J.C., S/o.John Villam,
 Aged 60 years, Residing at Cherakal House,
 Kadoora Division, Meppadi Post,
 Kottapadi Village, Vythiri Taluk,
 Wayanad District, PIN – 673 577.
2. Venugopal @ Venu, S/o.Rathinan,
 Aged 60 years, Residing at Thejas House,
 Kadoora Division, Meppadi Post,
 Kottapadi Village, Vythiri Taluk,
 Wayanad District, PIN – 673 577.
3. Rabia, D/o.Kunjumuhammed,
 Aged 47 years, Residing at Kalludumbil House,
 Kadoora Division, Meppadi Post,
 Kottapadi Village, Vythiri Taluk,
 Wayanad District, PIN – 673 577.

Appellants
 Defendants

Vs.

Harrisons Malayalam Ltd., Arrapetta Estate,
 Represented by its Deputy General Manager
 and one of its Principal Officer, Binil John,
 Aged 47 years, S/o.Late John, Residing at
 Arrapetta Estate, Muppainad Village,
 Vythiri Taluk, Wayanad District.

Respondent
 Plaintiff

On Appeal against the judgment and decree of the Munsiff-Magistrate Court, Kalpetta in OS 256/2017 dated 30.11.2022.

Harrisons Malayalam Ltd., Arrapetta Estate,
 Represented by its Deputy General Manager
 and one of its Principal Officer, Binil John,
 Aged 47 years, S/o.Late John, Residing at
 Arrapetta Estate, Muppainad Village,
 Vythiri Taluk, Wayanad District.

} Plaintiff

Vs.

1. Ravi, S/o.John Villan, Age about 50 years,
 Residing at Kadoora Division, Meppadi Post,
 Kottapadi Village, Vythiri Taluk,
 Wayanad District.
2. Venugopal @ Venu, S/o.Rathinan,
 Aged 54 years, Worker No.55/37,
 Residing at Labour Line quarters,
 Kadoora Division, Meppadi Post,
 Kottapadi Village, Vythiri Taluk,
 Wayanad District.
3. Rabia, D/o.Kunjumammed,
 Age about 45 years, Kalludumbil,
 Puthumala Post, Mooppainadu Village,
 Vythiri Taluk, Wayanad District.

} Defendants

This Appeal Suit is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Sri.K.Ramesh Babu, Advocate for Appellants, reported no instruction and no representation for respondents and on the same day the court delivered the following:-

JUDGMENT

Matter is in the list. Respondent absent, no representation. The learned counsel for the appellant reported no instruction from the appellants. Name of appellants, called absent. Hence Appeal Suit is dismissed for default.

(Pronounced by me in open court, this the 1st day of February, 2025.)

SUB JUDGE

Appendix: Nil.

SUB JUDGE

Typed by : Arsha Joy
Compared by : Preethi P.B.

Fair Judgment in AS 13/2023

Dated 01.02.2025.