

**IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS  
MAGISTRATE , KATTAKADA**

**Present: Smt. Shiva Sharath  
Judicial First Class Magistrate**

**Dated this the 11<sup>th</sup> day of May 2026**

**CMP. 1/2026 in Crime No. 397/2024  
of Maranalloor Police Station in CC 76/2025**

Petitioner/ Accused : Prasanth @ Manichan, aged 43 yrs, S/o Raveendran,  
Ambedkar colony, Puthukkattuvila, Russelputam,  
Maranalloor desam, Maranalloor village.

(Rep. by Adv. E Ayappan)

Counter petitioner : State of Kerala represented by the Sub Inspector of  
Police, Maranalloor Police Station.

(By Assistant Public Prosecutor Gr II)

Offences : U/s. 121(1) of BNS.

Order : Bail application is allowed.

This petition having been heard today, the court on the same day passed the  
following

**ORDER**

1. This bail application is filed under section 480 of Bharatiya Nagarik  
Suraksha Sanhita (herein after called as BNSS) by the accused in Crime  
No 397/2024 of Maranalloor Police Station alleging offenses under  
section 121(1) of BNS.

2. Prosecution case in brief is as follows:

on 26-08-2024 between 8.00 p.m. and 27-08-2024 at about 5.30 a.m., at  
Papakode Mahaganapathi Temple situated within Maranalloor Village, with the

intention and preparation to commit theft, committed lurking house-trespass by night by forcibly breaking open the Shakthinada (rear entrance) of the temple. Thereby accused is alleged to have committed the aforesaid offences.

3. The accused was arrested and produced before this court on 06.09.2024 and he remained in judicial custody from then on. Copy of bail application was served to learned assistant public prosecutor.
4. Heard learned counsel for petitioner/ accused and the learned assistant public prosecutor and perused the records. The learned counsel submitted that he has been in custody for this time and he is unable to produce sufficient security. As such considering his prolonged custody accused shall be released on self bond and he shall appear before this court without fail. The learned prosecutor has objected that the bail application and contented that if the bail is granted to accused there is chance of him absconding, thereby causing prolongation of the trail.
5. On going through the records, it is seen that the investigation of the case is over and final report has already been laid and this court has taken cognizance on 30.01.2025. Charge was already framed. Hence no purpose will be served by detaining the accused further. From the report of the police it is seen that the accused person has no criminal antecedents.

6. The report further shows that if bail is granted to accused there is chance of him absconding, repeating the offences, tampering with the evidence and threatening or inducing the witnesses. Hence considering facts and circumstances of the case continued detention of accused in judicial custody is not warranted. Even then there shall be conditions to ensure the successful completion of the trial of the case. Hence, the application is allowed on following conditions
7. In the result the petition is allowed on him, execute a self bond of Rs. 50,000/- .

(Dictated by me, transcribed and typed by Confidential Assistant and corrected by me and pronounced in the open court, on this the 11<sup>th</sup> day of May 2026)

sd/-  
Judicial First Class Magistrate  
Kattakkada  
// True copy//  
Judicial First Class Magistrate  
Kattakkada