

IN THE COURT OF THE MUNSIFF, ATTINGAL
PRESENT: SMT. SWATHI.R.KRISHNAN, MUNSIFF
MONDAY 7th OCTOBER, 2024 / 15th ASWINA, 1946

IA.03/2024 IN OS.554/2016

Petitioners/ Plaintiffs:

1. Girija Ramachandran, aged 56 years, D/o Seethamma, Sasivilasom, Manamboor Village, Varkala Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram.
2. Prasanna Chandran Nair, aged 59 years, S/o Gopinathan Nair, Gopi Sadanam, Sarkara Village, Chirayinkeezh Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Advocate: Sri.M.P.Sasidharan Nair

Counter Petitioners/ Defendants:

1. The Chief Secretary, Government Secretariate, Thiruvananthapuram.
2. The District Collector, District Collectorate, Civil Station, Kudappanakunnu, Thiruvannathapuram.
3. The Tahasildar, Chirayinkeezhu Taluk, Taluk Office, Attingal P.O.
4. The Superintendent, Re Survey, Chirayinkeezhu Taluk Office, Attingal P.O.
5. Village Officer, Sarkara Village Office, Chirayinkeezh Taluk, Thiruvannathapuram.
6. The Secretary, Chirayinkeezh Gramapanchayath, Chirayinkeezh Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram.

R14 to R5-By Advocate: Smt.Swapna.C.Nair

R6- Set exparte

This petition having been filed by Adv.M.P.Sasidharan Nair to pass an order of temporary prohibitory injunction restraining the defendants and their men from constructing or cut opening any new cartable or any access through or any part of the plaint schedule property from disturbing or demolishing its existing boundaries till final disposal of this suit finally heard on 07.10.2024 and the court on same day passed the following:-

ORDER

Both sides represented. Heard both sides. No objection filed. The petition is filed to obtain an order of temporary injunction to restrain the defendants. The respondents already has appearance. Heard both sides. The court is satisfied that there is a prima faice case for the petitioner. The petition has prima faice proved possession over the plaint schedule property. The petitioner further contended that they filed this petition since they have a reasonable apprehension that the defendants will obstruct their peaceful possession and the order of injunction is highly necessary to preserve the suit property. This court is

satisfied that the petitioner has established a prima facie case and balance of convenience on their favour. Hence in result the petition is allowed. There is no order as to costs.

Pronounced in Open Court on this the 7th day of October, 2024.

Sd/-
SWATHI. R. KRISHNAN
MUNSIFF

Appendix: Nil

Id/-
MUNSIFF

//True Copy//

Typed by: Sheena.K
Compared by: Lasitha

MUNSIFF