

CS DJ 496/21
SEEMA
Vs.
ANIL AND ORS.

20.12.2023

Present : Plaintiff with Ld. Counsel.

Defendant ex-parte.

It seems that Ld. Predecessor had directed issuance of notice to defendants in respect of an application under Order 12 Rule 8 CPC. Record shows that defendant no. 1, 2, 3 & 5 have been served. The defendant no. 4 has refused to take notice and therefore he shall also be declared as served. Since, the defendants have not appeared and have been ex-parte and have also not responded to the application, the application is disposed of allowing the plaintiff to establish its case in proper manner. Adverse inference shall be taken against the defendants not non-production of documents.

List for PE on **15.05.2024**.

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
ADJ-02/(N-W), Rohini Courts
Delhi/20.12.2023