

IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE II,
NEDUMANGAD

Present: Akshaya.P.R., Judicial First Class Magistrate-II
Nedumangad.

Tuesday, 19th of May, 2026/ 29th vaisakha, 1948

C.M.P.1/2026 IN MC 70/2024

Petitioner, Respondents in original MC	:	1.Vipinkumar.S, S/o.Sukumaran, Pazhivilaveedu, Kalluvettankuzhi, Venganoor.P.O.Neyyattinkara, Thiruvananthapuram. 2. Vidya, D/o.Sukumaran, Jessy bhavan, Venganoor.P.O.Neyyattinkara, Thiruvananthapuram. (By Adv. Sri.S.Renjithkumar)
Respondent/ Petitioners in Original MC	:	1.Beena,D/o.Baby, Chalachakkara puthen veedu, NRRRA 6, Nethaji road, Vattiyoorkavu.P.O. Thiruvananthapuram. 2.Aadhya, D/o.Vipinkumar, do 3.Aaradhya, D/o.Vipinkumar,-do- 4.Aarav,D/o.Vipinkumar, - do - (By Advocate Smt.S.Krishnakumari)
Petition filed	:	U/s.12 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act 2005.
Order	:	Allowed

This case having been finally heard today the court on the same day delivered the following :

ORDER

This is a petition filed u/s. 12 of the Protection of woman from domestic Violence Act, 2005(hereinafter referred as PWDV Act).

2. The crux of the case averred by the petitioner/respondent no.1:

The petitioner is the first respondent in this MC case. The second respondent is his sister, and he had filed the affidavit on her behalf as well. The case was posted on 08.12.2025 for filing objection by the respondents. However, the petitioner was engaged in Sabarimala duty squad duty on that day and therefore could not file the objection. The absence was not wilful. Owing to the said circumstances, he could not contact his advocate, and consequently an ex parte order was passed against them by this Hon'ble Court. Hence, the petitioner seeks permission to file objection and to set aside the ex parte order passed against them. Thus, the petition.

3. The copy of the petition was served to the respondents/petitioners in MC. She submitted that the respondents are willfully delaying the proceeding.

4. Heard both sides.

5. This Court is satisfied with the reasons stated by the petitioner. Hence prayer shall be allowed, otherwise irreparable injury will be the result. However, considering the delay incurred, the CMP is allowed on condition that the petitioner shall pay costs of Rs.500/- in the MC.

Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed and typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open court this the 19th day of May,2026.

Sd/-

Judicial First Class Magistrate-II

Nedumangad

(True copy)

Judicial First Class Magistrate-II,

Nedumangad