

HARI SHANKER TIBREWAL Vs. NINE NETWORK PRIVATE LIMITED

11.07.2026

Present: Sh. Naman Joshi and Sh. Verdan Jain, Ld. Counsel for Plaintiff through VC alongwith Sh. Ankit Solanki and Sh. Rajat Jain, Ld. Counsel appearing physically in court.
Ms. Irshita Gupta, Ms. Komal Jain and Ms. Amisha Bansal, Ld. Counsel for Defendant no.1 and 4.
Defendant Nitin Naresh in person.

All the applications under Order 39 Rule 2A of CPC will be separately dealt with and they will no longer be dealt in the suit, as the same complicates the hearing of the present suit.

Defendant no.7, who is appearing through counsel through VC, has no objection to the amendment of plaint.

If any party wishes to make any submission, based on judgments, the same may be filed in the format of short submissions alongwith the judgments and be e-filed, so that the arguments and judgments relied upon by a party are available online on the portal.

Defendant no.1 and defendant no.2 may check if Advocate Sh. Sahil Rao was served with a copy of amendment application. If so, the reply may be filed before the next date of hearing. In the alternative, since the amendment only pertains to 2 separate entities, the defendant no.1 and defendant no.2 may take a call whether they want to oppose the application in the first place.

Reply to the show cause notice has already been filed. Same is taken on record. Copy of the same may be supplied to the counsel for plaintiff.

List on **18.07.2026**.

(HARJYOT SINGH BHALLA)
DJ-03, SOUTH-WEST, DWARKA
NEW DELHI/11.07.2026