

**IN THE COURT OF THE JUDL. FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE I,
NEDUMANGAD**

Present: Smt. Ruby Ismail

Judl. I Class Magistrate-I, Nedumangad

Dated: Monday, 15th December, 2025/ 24th Agrahayana, 1947

C.M.P No. 6002/2025 in Crime No. 950/2025

CC 670/2025 of Vattappara Police Station

Petitioner/Accused	Ajith Kumar, aged 50 years, S/o Gopala Krishnan Ashari, Kundayakodu Veedu, Kuttiyani, Vattappara PS Limit. Rep. by Adv. Ashitha P Mammooty
Counter Petitioner	State represented by the Sub Inspector of Police, Vattappara Police Station in Crime No. 950/2025. Rep. by Manmohan.A, APP Sr. Gr.
Offence	U/Ss. 331(4), 305 & 238 of the BNS
Sentence or Order	Allowed.

ORDER

1. This petition is filed by the petitioner through his counsel seeking to enlarge him on self-bond. Since the accused had already been granted bail by this Court, the present petition is treated as one seeking modification of the bail condition imposed by this Court.

2. The petition averment in brief is as follows:

The accused is innocent of the allegations levelled against him. He has been granted bail by this court. He has no one to help him financially due to which he is unable to produce any solvent sureties. Hence, he seeks to release him on self-bond.

3. The accused was arrested and produced before the court on 12.09.2025 in the above case alleging offences punishable U/Ss. 331(4), 305 & 238 of the BNS. He filed CMP No. 5438/2025 for bail and the same was allowed on conditions. Since he has no solvent sureties, he filed this petition through his counsel, to release him on self-bond.

4. Heard both sides. The Learned APP vehemently opposed the application stating that if the accused is released on self-bond, there are chances for him to abscond and thereby cause delay in trial.

5. I have considered the matter in detail and perused the records. In this case, the accused was already enlarged on bail by the order of this court dated 28.10.2025 in CMP 5438/2025. However, he is unable to furnish any solvent sureties. In the application, the accused submitted that he has no one to stand as surety to him. Due to his financial incapacity, he is unable to produce solvent sureties.

6. It is to be noted that, the accused has been in judicial custody for the last 93 days. The investigation of the case is practically over and the final report has also been filed before the court. In the application, the accused submitted that he has no one to stand as surety to him. Due to his financial incapacity, he is unable to produce solvent sureties. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the view that keeping the accused in further custody for his inability to furnish solvent sureties would be against the ethos of the Constitution and the law. Hence, in the interest of justice, I am of the view that the condition No.1 in the bail order in CMP 5438/2025 dated 28.10.2025 can be modified.

In the result,

The petition is allowed and the condition No. 1 of the bail order in CMP 5438/2025 dated 28.10.2025 is modified as follows:

“The petitioner shall execute self-bond for Rs.50,000/”.

The condition Nos. (2) to (5) shall remain unaltered.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the day of 15th December, 2025

Sd/-

Judicial First Class Magistrate-I,
Nedumangad

//True Copy//

Judicial First Class Magistrate-I,
Nedumangad